

148876

GAO


United States
General Accounting Office
Washington, D.C. 20548

General Government Division

B-249779

March 30, 1993

The Honorable Mike Espy
The Secretary of Agriculture



148876

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Total Quality Management (TQM) is a management approach that strives to achieve continuous improvement of quality through organizationwide efforts based on facts and data. TQM also focuses business processes on meeting the needs of customers, both internal and external. Although TQM traditionally has been associated with private sector organizations and their efforts to remain competitive and profitable, in recent years federal organizations have been attempting to implement TQM to cope with budget restrictions and better serve the public.

We recently surveyed federal installations to determine the extent of their use of TQM and learned that 68 percent of the installations surveyed were implementing TQM.¹ An installation, as defined by the Office of Personnel Management, is a unit with a specifically designated head who is not subject to on-site supervision by a higher level installation head and who has been delegated some degree of authority in the performance of personnel management functions. Our survey covered over 2,800 installations, such as Internal Revenue Service Centers, Social Security offices, military depots, and Agriculture field offices. Two hundred and sixty-six installations of the Department of Agriculture were included in this survey, and the purpose of this correspondence is to provide you a brief summary of the results as they apply to Agriculture as well as to compare Agriculture results with the results of all surveyed federal installations. We believe this information--particularly data on barriers to TQM--can be useful in your planning and as a baseline for judging future efforts.

¹Quality Management: Survey of Federal Organizations
(GAO/GGD-93-9BR, Oct. 1, 1992).

056839/148876

STATUS OF TOM

Figures 1 and 2 show the percentage of government installations and Agriculture installations implementing TOM. As figure 1 shows, about 68 percent of the federal installations responding to our survey reported they were starting or already implementing TOM. Figure 2 shows about 36 percent of the Agriculture installations responding to our survey were starting or already implementing TOM. Additionally, another 36 percent of the responding Agriculture installations reported that they planned to implement TOM (not shown in figure 2).

Figure 1: Percentage of Government Installations Implementing TOM






Figure 2: Percentage of Agriculture Installations Implementing TOM



To obtain a picture of the status of federal TQM efforts, we asked installations to report their efforts in terms of a five-phase maturity scale. Maturity definitions ranged from Phase 1, preliminary TQM efforts, to Phase 5, institutionalized efforts that are achieving significant benefits (see enc. I for definitions). As figure 3 shows, 51 percent of the total federal installations responding to the survey reported being in Phase 1 or 2, while 61 percent of the Agriculture installations reported still being in these early phases. The fact that many Agriculture installations are in the early phases of TQM reflects the relative newness of Agriculture's efforts; 67 percent of the installations implementing TQM reported beginning TQM efforts within the past 3 years.

Figure 3: Status of TOM



In our survey of federal installations, we asked respondents about the extent of their involvement in 43 activities commonly undertaken by organizations involved in TQM. Such activities include providing training in TQM tools for employees, establishing quality councils or steering groups, and establishing problem-solving teams. Installations reported that their involvement in these activities increased as maturity increased. In other words, installations identifying themselves as more mature in TQM also more frequently said they were doing the 43 activities commonly associated with TQM.


B-249779

Comparing Agriculture installations' involvement in these activities with reported maturity phases, we discovered that Agriculture generally reflected the same trend as in the total survey--that is, as Agriculture installations' maturity increased, they more frequently reported doing TQM activities. For example, 21 percent of the combined Phase 1 and Phase 2 Agriculture installations provided training in TQM tools for employees, whereas 80 percent of the combined Phase 4 and Phase 5 installations provided such training.

BENEFITS OF TQM


We considered benefits in two ways: (1) effect on external customers as reflected by overall organizational performance and (2) effect on internal customers as reflected by internal operating conditions. We asked respondents to assess TQM's effect on organizational performance in terms of productivity, reductions in costs, quality of products and services, overall service to customers, customer satisfaction, and timeliness. To depict the overall impact, we developed an index that is the average of responses to our questions on the degree of impact. Figure 4 compares Agriculture and total federal responses and shows that almost two-thirds of the Agriculture installations reported positive benefits, very few saw negatives to TQM, and about a third felt it was too soon to judge benefits. These results are similar to the overall federal survey results.

Figure 4: Impact of TOM on Performance



Reported benefits increased as maturity increased. We compared the composite index of responses on external benefits with maturity phases and learned that more mature installations reported greater benefits. Figure 5 shows, by maturity phase, the percentage of total federal respondents and the Agriculture respondents reporting somewhat to very positive benefits.


Figure 5: Respondents Reporting Increased Organizational Performance



For internal operating conditions, we asked the installations to identify the impact of TQM on each of 13 internal operating conditions, such as communications and labor-management relations. To illustrate the benefits, we developed an index in the same manner as for the organizational performance indicators.


Figure 6 compares the Agriculture and total federal responses and once again shows that Agriculture installations generally reported about the same benefits as all of the federal installations. Agriculture installations reported positive benefits in internal operating conditions similar to those in their overall organizational performance.

Figure 6: Extent of Positive Impact on Internal Operating Conditions



In a manner similar to the overall organizational benefits, we compared the composite index of benefits with maturity phases and noted that reported internal conditions improved as maturity increased. Figure 7 shows the percentage of respondents reporting a moderate to very great positive impact, by maturity phase, for both Agriculture and the total federal respondents.

Figure 7: Respondents Reporting Positive Impact on Internal Operating Conditions




BARRIERS TO TQM

We asked all the federal installations we sent our recent survey to about the significance of 21 potential barriers to implementing TQM that had been identified through our research. Nine barriers were said to be moderate to very major problems by 39 percent or more of the total federal respondents.

As figure 8 shows, the replies from Agriculture respondents were generally consistent with the category of barriers identified by the total federal survey and the extent of impact of these barriers. It should be noted that many of these barriers are

related to employee issues, such as (1) employees do not believe they are empowered to make changes, (2) employees lack sufficient information on how to use TQM tools, and (3) employees lack information and training on TQM concepts and theory.

Figure 8: Respondents Reporting Barriers Are Moderate to Very Major Problems to Implementing TQM



In one difference between Agriculture and the total federal survey, 43 percent of the Agriculture installations reported moderate to very major difficulties in measuring customer satisfaction as a significant barrier. This compares to 34

B-249779

percent for the survey of all federal installations.

Both Agriculture and total federal respondents reported that the barriers became less significant as the TQM effort matured. For example, 75 percent of the combined Phase 1 and 2 Agriculture installations reported that funding and budgeting were a moderate to a very major barrier, whereas 43 percent of the combined Phase 4 and 5 Agriculture respondents saw this as a significant barrier. Also, 74 percent of the combined Phase 1 and 2 Agriculture installations reported that employees' lack of information on TQM tools was a significant barrier, whereas 29 percent of the combined Phase 4 and 5 Agriculture installations saw this as a significant barrier. Further, 71 percent of the combined Phase 1 and 2 Agriculture installations reported employees' lack of information on TQM theory was a significant barrier, but only 21 percent of the combined Phase 4 and 5 Agriculture installations saw this as a significant barrier.

B-249779

SUMMARY


Our survey of federal TQM efforts indicated that as installations invested more time and effort in TQM activities, they matured in the implementation of TQM, found that the barriers became less difficult, and reaped greater benefits. Although some differences were reported between Department of Agriculture TQM experiences and those of all federal respondents, Agriculture respondents' overall message generally appeared to be similar.

- - - - -
We have enclosed a copy of our report Quality Management: Survey of Federal Organizations (GAO/GGD-93-9BR, Oct. 1, 1992) to provide information on the background; results; and objective, scope, and methodology of the total survey.

We hope you will find this information useful in guiding your quality management initiatives and in improving service to your customers under today's budget constraints. We will make copies of this correspondence available to others upon request.

The major contributors to this correspondence are listed in enclosure II. If you have any questions, please call me on (202) 512-8387.

Sincerely yours,



J. William Gadsby
Director, Government Business
Operations Issues

United States General Accounting Office

GAO

Distribution for Unrestricted GAO Reports (Form 115-U)

Report Number and Title
GAO/GGD-93-16R

Classified: (Check one, if applicable)
[] Classified [] Proprietary

TQM Implementation at Agriculture

B-Number Budget Function Codes Issue Areas/Lines of Effort Assignment Code
B-249779 7902 966563

[] Check here if Form 115-R (Distribution for Restricted GAO Reports) used with this report

Reports to recipients with an asterisk (*) will be hand carried by: [Redacted]

Initial Distribution

[1. External]

Standard Recipients

Transmittal Document

(Check one, if applicable)

Brief Basic Ltr.

Copies Recipient

- *The Honorable Mike Espy, Secretary of Agriculture, Independence Ave. and 14th St., SW., Washington, DC 20250
*Mr. Richard Guyer, Chief, Mgmt. and Productivity Improvement Div., USDA, Rm. 3313 So., Independence Ave. & 14th St., SW.
*Ms. Mary Gerald, Mgmt. and Productivity Improvement Div., Rm. 3313 So., Independence Ave. & 14th St., SW.

- House Appropriations Comm., Attn:
House Government Operations Comm., Attn:
Senate Appropriations Comm., Attn:
Senate Governmental Affairs Comm., Attn:
Dir., Office of Management & Budget, Attn:
Speaker Of the House
President of the Senate
House Comm. (Legislative)
House Budget Comm., Attn:
Senate Subcomm. (Appropriations)
Senate Comm.
Senate Budget Comm., Attn:
Ranking Minority Mbrs. of Comms. & Subcomms.
Library of Congress, CRS

Add the Total No. of Copies listed in [1] above: 3

[2.] Enter Total No. of Copies Listed in Part A of Form 115-1: 0

Initial Distribution Continued

[3. Internal]

Copies	Room No.	Recipient
2	7800	Asst. Comptroller General, Planning & Reporting
1	6300	Dir., Office of Policy
4	7025	Office of Congressional Relations
1	3858C	ACG, Originating Division/Office- Mr. Finch
1	3858C	Cognizant Director of P & R - Mr. Stevens
0		Cognizant Director -
0		Cognizant Associate Director -
10	3150	Cognizant Assistant Director - Mr. Leitch
2	3660	Cognizant Division Report Review
40	7049	Information Office

To participating regional offices and audit sites:

0	
0	
0	
0	
0	

Add the Total No. of Copies listed in [3] above: 65

[A] Enter Total Initial External & Internal Distribution: 68 (Add items [1], [2] and [3] above)

Subsequent Distribution

[4.] Subject Codes	No. Copies	Subject Codes	No. Copies
	0		0
	0		0
	0		0

[5.] Distribution to the News Media	No. Copies
	0
	0

[6.] Enter Number of Copies for One-Time Recipients (Listed in Part B of Form 115-1): 0

[B.] Enter Total Subsequent Distribution: 0 (Add items [4], [5], and [6] above.)

Determining Number of Copies to be Printed

[C.] Reserve Stock: [250; Add [A,B,C & D] 322

[D.] Federal Depository Libraries: [2] Add No. of Copies on GAO Form 115-R 0
Office of Mgmt & Budget: [2] Enter Grand Total (Total No. Copies to be Printed)

Approvals and Contact Information

Approved by:

For more infor., contact:

Signature of Director or Comparable Official	<u>11</u>	Date	Name
<i>Deborah A. Brown</i>			
Signature of ACG or Comparable Official	<u>3/29/23</u>	Date	Telephone Number
<i>Joe</i>			

PHASES OF TOM IMPLEMENTATIONPHASE 1 - DECIDING WHETHER TO IMPLEMENT TOM

Management is researching or deciding whether to implement TQM, but no formal decisions or activities have been initiated by top management. A few employees may have attended quality conferences or network meetings, but the installation as a whole has yet to be informed or involved in a TQM project.

PHASE 2 - JUST GETTING STARTED

TQM efforts are in the early planning and implementation phase. Management has made a formal decision to start TQM and has communicated this to the organization. The organization's mission and vision have been articulated. A few quality structures, such as quality councils, steering committees, or teams, have been established, and some awareness training has been given. Preliminary quality planning has been done. Pilot programs or newly initiated installationwide efforts to improve quality are included in this phase.

PHASE 3 - IMPLEMENTATION

Specific TQM processes designed to improve quality are in place. TQM training for management and employees is beyond the orientation/awareness stage and focuses on TQM tools and techniques and team-related activities. Measures of quality and productivity have been identified and specific goals have been set.

PHASE 4 - ACHIEVING RESULTS

The installation has a sustained TQM effort and has begun to achieve and document significant results. Systemic, cross-functional, and/or organizational achievements from the TQM effort have been realized.

PHASE 5 - LONG-TERM INSTITUTIONALIZATION

The installation has incorporated all of the principles and operating practices of TQM throughout much of the organization. The installation has documented substantial improvements in quality and customer satisfaction resulting from these efforts and is making consistent and continuous improvement throughout. An installation in this phase may have been recognized as a Quality Improvement Prototype Award winner or may be a recipient of the President's Award for Quality.

ENCLOSURE II

ENCLOSURE II

MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS CORRESPONDENCE

GENERAL GOVERNMENT DIVISION, WASHINGTON, D.C.

John A. Leitch, Assistant Director, Federal Human Resource
Management Issues

Domingo Nieves, Evaluator-in-Charge

Catherine M. Hurley, Computer Specialist

(966563)