# UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE 

HELCHONALOHCE
 shan Francisco, California 9400

Director
Naval Area Audit Service, San Francisco 50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102
Dear six:
We are enclosing a copy of our letter report to the Commanding Officer, naval Weapons station, Concord (WWSC) on our [review of ciptrian Compensation?

As a part of our review we examined your workpapers covering report No. A51139 dated February 20, 1969, the only audit by your otedif within a recent period of time at NWSC. Since this audi covered only thee areas concerned whit h payroll matters, we believe that it was too limited to determine whether the processing of payrolls and the estabo Iishment of gates of pay were being properly administered. This is particularly true size notation on your audit program indicate that mole of the steps were left for the Installation" Internal Review Group to do and at che the of our review Internal Review hat not completed this audit which they started in February $196 \%$

We realize that there are many demands on your avalito able audit clam; however, we believe that payroll and related areas are of sufficient importance to warrant more extensive consideration.

We would appreciate your comments on chis matter, and advice as to any action you plan to rake. A copy of this letter is being sent to the Auditor General of the Navy for hid information.

Sincerely yours.
 Regional Manager

Enclosure


# United States general accounting office <br> FEGIONAL OFFICE <br> WHS FEDERRLD OFFICE ELILCIMMG, FO FLLTON STREET San Frangisco, Californa 94102 

84821

SEP 141970
Commading officer
Naval Weapons station
Concord, Coliformia 94520

Dear Sit:
We have made a review of the propriety of salary rates, time and attendance policies, and procedures at the Naval Weapons Stam clon, Concord, Califormia. This review, which was completed in Jume 1970, wat made pursuant to the Budget and Accounting Act, 1921 (31 U.S.C. 53) and the Accourting and Auditing Act of 1950 (31 U.S.C.67).

Our review Indicated that the procedures and controls involved In processing payrolls are generally adequace. However, we noted a number of sigmificant errors in processing salary changes and weaknesses in time and attendance procedures and controls, which have been discussed with stafl members of the Comptroller Department and the Civilian Personnel Department, and which are listed below for your information and action:

## PROPRIETY OF SALABY RATES

Our review of the propriety of salary rates disclosed the following errors:

## Promptions Contrary to the Whitten Amendment

We noted 21 promotions which were made effective prior to completion of one year of service in the neat lower grade. We have estimatec the overpayments in these 21 cases at $\$ 3,017$. Section 1310 of the Act of November 1,1951 , as amended (Whit ten Ameadment) provides them no Classifilcation Act employee shall be promoted or transferred co a higher grade without having served at least one year in the next lower grade. In 15 of these cases the promotions were made after only 52 weeks of sexvice in the lower grade. We believe that the term "lyear" as used in the Act is as defined in a Comptroller General's decision:


#### Abstract

"The term 'year' in the absence of an indication to the contrary, nomally is construed as covering the period from a given date in one yeax to the close of the imnediately preceding date in the following year." (46 Comp. Gen. 346)


We find nothing in the Act to indicate that the texm "year" as used therein was intended to be other than as defined in the decision.

Personnel officials indicated that the erroneous promotions were made because they had not been aware of any distinction between waiting period of 52 weeks for within grade increases and a waiting period of a full calendax year for promotions. The other cases, noted above, were apparently caused by oversight, due co a beavy workload.

## Incorrect Rate of Pay Upon Promotion

The rates of pay for three employees promoted from Wage Board to Classified positions were set too high, apparently in the belief that a higher rate was justified due to the lapse of time aince the last step increase in the Wage Board position. However, this 1 contrery to 39 Comp. Gen. 270 In which the Comptroller Gemeral ruled that Wage Board adjustments must be considered as applying towards equivalent increases in pay to start new waiflug period for step incueases ln classified positions. The overpayments caused by the errozs is estimated at $\$ 1,220$.

## Incorrect Rate of Pay Upon Change to Lower Grade

An employee was changed co a lower grade, at her own request, from position paid at a regular race to one in a speciall wate macgoxy, which resulted in a $\$ 494$ increase in anmal rate of pay. fhle apparently occurred due to the application of instructions in Federal Personuel Mantal. Chapter 530 , gubhapter $3-6 d$, which state that 1 such ases, "his mumerical mank finst must be detemined without regard to the special rate range * * *" This instrumelon, however, does not say how sweh a determination should be made; but the procedures to be followed are contained in NWS Concord Instruction 12550. 1A, par. $4 b(4)$. These instructions state that:
> "The pay will normally be set no higher than that step in the new grade where the employee would be if all of his service in equal or higher grades had been in the new grade to which he is being transferred and/or demoted."

If these instructions had been followed the resulting rate of pay would have been two steps lower, and the employee still would have received an increase of $\$ 187$. We estimate that this employee has been overpaid to June 14,1970 , in the amount of $\$ 343$.

## Step Increase Prior to Eligibility

A classifiled employee was given a step increase two pay periods early because a break in service of 17 work days during the watiting pertod was counted as active service. This resulted in a small overpayment to the enployee. Personnel officials indlcated that the error was caused by oversight, and that cor. rective action would be taken.

## Improper Correction of Rate of Pay

An employee's rate of pay was "corrected" retroactively to the date of reinstatement so as to pay a rate previously earned. However, we could find no indication, on the personnel action or elsewhere, of any administrative intent to give the employee a higher rate upon receipt of additional infornation concerning prior service. The action taken in this case appears to be contrary to the station policy and procedures contained in NWS Concord Tnstruction 12550.IA and Comptroller Ceneral's Decisions B-117974, 1127154; 31 Comp. Gen 15; id 367; 32 Comp. Gen. 211. The overpayment is estimated at $\$ 85$ to Januaxy 24,1970 when she could have been eligible for a step increase.

## TIME AND ATTENDAMCE

The reporting of time and attendance at NWS is mostly by daily time (clock) carcts certified by authorized officials. Certain employees have been excused from using time clocks and time is maintained manually. We reviewed the practices and procedures followed by certifying officials at 10 of the 41 timekeepsing loeations. Our findings are as follows:

## Need to strengthen controls fou maintenance of Time and Attendance Records

Our review of procedures and controls involved in timekeeping maintenance and reporting disclosed the following mumerous discrepancies which we believe should be corrected:

1. The file of signature cards of employees authorized to cestify time cards needs to be brought up-tomdate. For the ten locations xeviewed we found about 80 instames where the cards were incomplete, not properly authorized, or invalid due to separation, trander, retirenent, etc., of employees, or authorization cancelled. The condition of the signature card fillue had previously been reported by the Systems and Review Division of the Comptroller Deparimant.
2. At two locatlons bxanch heads were cettifying the thme cands of their supervisors. This appeaus to be contrary to the procedure contemplated in Section 6 of the NWS Concord Imstruction 7410.1 F and Section 16.2, Title 6 of the General. Accounting Office Policy and Procedures Manual for: Guidance of Federall agencies.
3. In Eonm shops we foumd one or more time cards cextified by persoms working on other shtifts or int othex shops. It does not appear that these cextio fying officens would have positive knowledge as to the presence or absence of such employees.
4. At two locations we observed several time cards that had been cextified prior to completion of the work shift contrary to Section ba of MWS Conemach Insemmeion 7430.15.
5. At thatee locations we observed 14 employees who clocked out at other than their asslgned clock stations, contrayy to Sectlon 2 of the above cited futatuctione.
6. One employee who is authorized to certify time cards is also designated to receive pay checks from the disbursing office. This is contrary to the provisions of Title 6, Section 9 of the General Accounting Office Manual for Guidance of Eederal Agencies, which requires that certain duties and functions be segregated to provide internal check on performance and to minimize opportunities for carrying out unauthorized, fraudulent, or otherwise irregular acts.

These discrepancies were discussed with the certifying and other officials of the deparments concemed, who indicated that steps would be taken to correct the erroneous practices.

## Internal Audits

We reviewed the workpapers concerning audits made by the Systems and Review Division of the Comptroller Department, in the payroll and related areas covering the period from February 1967 to the date of our audit. We believe that, for this period, the coverage has not been adequate, and that these areas need more attention.

## Status of Prior Findings

During our current review we verified the corrective actions issued in the case of erroneous payments reported in prior audits. While the specific cases we reported on earlier were corrected, no control to prevent recurrence was instituted in the case of setting correct rates upon promotions, as this report cites similar findings. We believe that control procedures should be devised and implemented, in addition to correcting individual past errors.

We would appreciate being advised of the action taken or planned on the matters discussed in this report. We wish to acknowledge the cooperation given our representatives during the review. A copy of this report is being sent to the Commander, Accounting and Finance Center, Washington, D. C. and to the Director, Naval Area Audit Service, San Francisco, California, for their information.

Sincerely yours,

A. M. Clavelli Regional Manager

