

## UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

REGIONAL OFFICE

ROOM 201 415 FIPST AVENUE NORTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98109 093568

GAO 00370

Major General Richard E McConnell Division Engineer North Pacific Division AGC 00793 U.S Army Corps of Engineers 210 Custom House Portland, Oregon 97209

I FEB 1974

Dear General McConnell

We have completed our review of the accounts and accounting procedures for the Corps of Engineers projects included in the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1973 Our review, which included an evaluation of administrative procedures and controls, was directed toward determining the reasonableness and propriety of the project financial statements submitted by the North Pacific Division (NPD) to the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) for consolistatements. The review included a detailed account analysis of selected projects and a general analysis of others.

00073

Although the administrative procedures and accounting controls generally were adequate, we found some matters that needed corrective action These matters, which are commented on below, have been informally discussed and resolved with pertinent District officials

I a Our general analysis of the financial statements for the Dworshak Project showed that the interest on the Federal investment appeared to be in error by about \$500,000 rechecking the computations, Walla Walla District personnel determined that the interest had been understated by about \$538,000, mainly because one month's interest during construction had been omitted

b The financial statements for the Dworshak Project were originally changed to eliminate an interim negative cost allocation to navigation The revised statements (issued October 31, 1973) submitted to BPA for consolidation showed a \$42 9 million allocation to navigation, whereas our general analysis indicated that the allocation probably should

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

113139 1093568

have been closer to \$9 million Officials at the Walla Walla District subsequently determined that the correct allocation to navigation should be \$9 l million This resulted in increasing the allocation to power by \$33 6 million

c. The balance sheet for the Lower Granite Project showed a \$218,000 figure under the line item "Contributions in Aid of Construction" When we inquired into the makeup of this item, Walla Walla District officials told us that the item should not have been included in the power statements because the item was related to work on levees for the city of Lewiston, Idaho

Corrected statements were prepared by the Walla Walla District for the Dworshak and Lower Granite Projects.

2. While we were visiting the Albeni Falls Project, project personnel told us that a new sewage treatment plant for about \$89,000 had been placed in service during fiscal year 1973. The financial statements on hand during our visit showed no additions to the plant, but a 29 percent increase over last year in maintenance expense. Subsequent followup at the Seattle District showed that the capital improvement had been expensed rather than capitalized. Seattle District officials told us that an adjustment will be made in fiscal year 1974

Our letters to the Corps, issued after the fiscal year 1971 and 1972 FCRPS audits, pointed out a need for more stringent supervisory review of each project's statements submitted to BPA for consolidation. Errors noted this year indicate that the supervisory review could be further strengthened

In addition to the items commented on above, we reported separately to the Division Comptroller on October 10, 1973, our views on the need to impute GSA rental costs of \$625,000 and \$529,000 for fiscal years 1972 and 1973

A copy of this letter is being sent to the Engineer Comptroller and to the District Engineer at the Portland, Seattle, and Walla Walla Districts

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

We wish to acknowledge the courtesy and cooperation extended to our representatives during this review and the prompt action in making the necessary accounting adjustments and revisions of the fiscal year 1973 financial statements. Your comments and advice as to final action on item 2 above will be appreciated. We shall be glad to work with your District personnel, should you so desire, to suggest methods for a more effective supervisory review

Sincerely yours,

Philip A. Bernstein Regional Manager

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE