DOCUMENT RESUME

06195 - [B1366411]

Social Research and Experimentation. May 15, 1978. 8 pp.

Testimony before the House Committee on Science and Technology: Domestic and International Scientific Planning, Analysis and Cooperation Subcommittee; by Henry Eschwege, Director, Community and Economic Development Div.

Contact: Community and Economic Development Div.
Organization Concerned: Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Congressional Relevance: House Committee on Science and Technology: Domestic and International Scientific Planning, Analysis and Cooperation Subcommittee.

A 1978 report, "An Assessment of the Department of Housing and Orpan Development's Experimental Housing Allowance Program (EHAP)," recognized that carefully designed and operated social experiments offer useful techniques for gaining information necessary to make informed decisions on major public policy issues. The report cautioned, however, that social research and development is in its early stages and, by its very nature, has certain limitations when projecting beyond the experiment. The EHAP Program represented a major effort on the part of the Department of Housing and Orban Development (HUD) to experiment with the concept of direct cash assistance to determine the feasibility and desirability of a national housing allowance program. Although EHAP will provide a wide range of information on housing markets and the behavior of low-income persons that has not been available before, it will not provide answers to the principal research questions initially posed. The experimental sites lacked the characteristics typical or major urban areas where a housing allowance program would be most needed. HUD did not clearly apprise the Congress from the outset of the experimental limitations and raised its expectations too high. The question of confidentiality in social research became a real issue; until other review methods are developed, the extent to which data provided as part of a social experiment are to be considered confidential must be determined on a case-by-case basis. (RES)

UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

FOR RELEASE ON DELIVERY EXPECTED AT 3:00 P.M. EDT WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 1978 Mouday

STATEMENT OF
HENRY ESCHWEGE, DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC
I ANNING, ANALYSIS AND COOPERATION
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

ON SOCIAL RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTATION

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE:

WE ARE HERE AT YOUR INVITATION TO DISCUSS OUR EFFORTS IN THE AREA OF SOCIAL RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTATION, ESPECIALLY AS IT RELATES TO IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF URBAN LIFE. OUR MOST RECENT REPORT ON THIS SUBJECT WAS ISSUED TO THE CONGRESS ON MARCH 8 OF THIS YEAR AND WAS ENTITLED "AN ASSESSMENT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT'S EXPERIMENTAL HOUSING ALLOWANCE PROGRAM" (CED-7C-29). THIS REPORT DEALT WITH THE BROAD ISSUE OF SOCIAL RESEARCH PER SE AND ITS VALUE TO DECISIONMAKERS AS WELL AS SOME OF THE MORE SPECIFIC PROBLEMS RELATED TO HUD'S EXPERIMENTAL HOUSING ALLOWANCE PROGRAM, COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS EHAP. THIS STUDY WAS PROBABLY OUR MOST INTENSIVE EFFORT IN THIS AREA AND SURFACED A NUMBER OF DIFFERENCES OF OPINION BETWEEN THE SOCIAL RESEARCH COMMUNITY

AND OURSELVES AS TO THE USE OF SOCIAL RESEARCH AND WHAT THE ROLE OF GAO SHOULD BE IN MONITORING OF AUDITING THAT RESEARCH.

OUR MARCH 1978 REPORT RECOGNIZES THAT CAREFULLY DESIGNED AND OPERATED SOCIAL EXPERIMENTS OFFER VERY USEFUL TECHNIQUES FOR GAINING INFORMATION NECESSARY TO MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS ON MAJOR PUBLIC POLICY ISSUES INVOLVING MULTIBILLION DOLLAR PROGRAMS. WE CAUTIONED, HOWEVER, THAT SOCIAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IS IN ITS EARLY STAGES WHEN COMPARED WITH THE PHYSICAL OR BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES AND PY ITS VERY NATURE HAS CER-TAIN LIMITATIONS WHEN PROJECTING BEYOND THE EXPERIMENT. THE VARIOUS ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES USED TO EXTRAPOLATE AND INFER INVOLVE MANY ASSUMPTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE JUDGMENTS. FEW LARGE SOCIAL EXPERIMENTS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED AND THE TECHNICAL CAPAC-ITY TO CARRY OUT SUCH EXPERIMENTS IS NOT WELL DEVELOPED IN MOST U.S. AGENCIES AND INSTITUTIONS. ONLY A LIMITED NUMBER OF RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS, USUALLY CONNECTED WITH UNIVERSITIES, HAVE THE COMBINATION OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS, MANAGEMENT CAPABIL-ITY, AND STAFF CONTINUITY NECESSARY TO EXECUTE A LONG-TERM SOCIAL EXPERIMENT.

THE EXPERIMENTAL HOUSING ALLOWANCE PROGRAM REPRESENTS A MAJOR EFFORT ON HUD'S PART TO EXPERIMENT WITH THE CONCEPT OF DIRECT CASH ASSISTANCE TO DETERMINE THE FEASIBILITY AND DESIRABILITY OF A NATIONAL HOUSING ALLOWANCE PROGRAM. IT HAS BEEN OPERATING FOR ABOUT 5 YEARS WITH A PROJECTED TOTAL COST THROUGH 1981 OF ABOUT \$174 MILLION AND CONSISTS OF THREE SEPARATE BUT RELATED EXPERIMENTS—SUPPLY, DEMAND, AND ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY.

ALTHOUGH WE CONCLUDED THAT EHAP WILL NOT PROVIDE ANSWERS TO THE PRINCIPAL RESEARCH QUESTIONS INITIALLY POSED AS TO THE FEASIBILITY OF A NATIONAL CASH ALLOWANCE PROGRAM, IT WILL PROVIDE A WIDE RANGE OF INFORMATION ON HOUSING MARKETS AND THE BEHAVIOR OF LOW-INCOME PERSONS THAT HAS NOT BEEN AVAILABLE BEFORE. SUCH INFORMATION WILL MAKE THE EVENTUAL POLICY DECISIONS BETTER INFORMED THAN IS TYPICAL OF PUBLIC POLICYMAKING. THE QUESTIONS "OF WHAT VALUE IS THAT INFORMATION?" AND "AT WHAT COST IS IT BEING OBTAINED?" ARE YET TO BE ANSWERED.

THE EXPERIMENTAL SITES, PARTICULARLY THE TWO SUPPLY EXPERIMENT SITES, LACKED THE CHARACTERISTICS TYPICAL OF THE MAJOR URBAN AREAS WHERE A HOUSING ALLOWINGE PROGRAM WOULD BE MOST NEEDED. FOR THE DEMAND EXPERIMENT HUD SELECTED CITIES WHERE THE EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS WERE CONDUCIVE TO A SUCESSFUL EXPERIMENT: FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY EXPERIMENT, THE AGENCIES CHOSEN HAD ALREADY DEMONSTRATED VERY CAPABLE ADMINIISTRATIVE ABILITY. THE LESS TYPICAL THE SITES, THE MORE DIFFICULT IT BECOMES TO EXTRAPOLATE AND INFER FROM THE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.

WE ALSO CONCLUDED THAT HUD DID NOT CLEARLY APPRISE THE CONGRESS FROM THE OUTSET OF THE EXPERIMENTAL LIMITATIONS AND RAISED ITS EXPECTATIONS TOO HIGH AS TO THE USEFULNESS OF THE FINAL RESULTS. OVER THE YEARS, HUD GAVE THE CONGRESS THE FIRM IMPRESSION THAT EHAP WOULD PROVIDE ANSWERS AS TO WHAT WOULD HIPPEN IF A NATIONAL HOUSING ALLOWANCE PROGRAM WERE ADOPTED. NO ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO CAUTION THE CONGRESS THAT EHAP HAD

CERTAIN INHERENT LIMITATIONS BROUGHT ABOUT BY THE SIMULATION MODELING AND OTHER ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES THAT INVOLVE ASSUMPTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS.

WITH REGARD TO EHAP, WE PECOMMENDED THAT THE CONGRESS HOLD OVERSIGHT HEARINGS TO REVIEW THE STATUS OF THE EXPERIMENT AND DETERMINE WHETHER IT SHOULD BE CONTINUED, MODIFIED, OR TERMINATED.

BECAUSE OF THE INCREASING IMPORTANCE OF SOCIAL SCIENCE
RESEARCH IN INFLUENCING PUBLIC POLICY DECISIONS, WE RECOMMENDED THAT THE CONGRESS, IN AUTHORIZING FUTURE SOCIAL SCIENCE
RESEARCH PROGRAMS, REQUIRE FEDERAL AGENCIES TO

- --CLEARLY DEFINE EXPERIMENTAL OBJECTIVES AT THE OUTSET,
- --ALERT THE CONGRESS TO ANY LIMITATIONS ON THE RELIA-BILITY OF THE RESULTS, AND
- --REPORT PERIODICALLY ON RESULTS IN TERMS OF ORIGINAL OBJECTIVES.

THE QUESTION OF CONFIDENTIALITY IN SOCIAL RESEARCH BECAME
A REAL ISSUE IN OUR REVIEW OF EHAP. HUD AND ITS CONTRACTORS
HAD MADE A PLEDGE OF CONFIDENTIALITY TO PARTICIPANTS IN THE
PROGRAM AND CONTENDED THAT IF WE OBTAINED THE NAMES AND
ADDRESSES OF THESE PARTICIPANTS, THE PLEDGE WOULD BE BREACHED
AND SERIOUS DAMAGE WOULD RESULT TO THE EXPERIMENT. TO ALLAY
THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY HUD AND ITS CONTRACTORS THAT OUR
REVIEW WOULD BE DISRUPTIVE, WE HIRED FIVE CONSULTANTS WITH
EXPERTISE IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL AND EVALUATION RESEARCH AND
ECCNOMICS. WE WERE ULTIMATELY ABLE TO REACH A COMPROMISE IN

THIS CASE BUT OUR AUDIT EFFORTS WERE HAMPERED AND OUR REVIEW WAS CONSIDERABLY DELAYED. WE COULD NOT DRAW VALID CONCLUSIONS FROM THE RESULTS OF OUR WORK BECAUSE THE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE RATE WAS LOW AND THE APPROACH USED TO OBTAIN THE SAMPLE INVOLVED FOSSIBLE BIAS.

THIS QUESTION IS OF REAL CONCERN BECAUSE IT IMPACTS UPON THE NEED FOR ADEQUATE REVIEW OF FEDERALLY FUNDED EXPERIMENTS, PARTICULARLY THOSE THAT MAY HAVE MAJOR POLICY IMPLICATIONS.

THE PROBLEMS WE ENCOUNTERED IN OUR EHAP STUDY ON THE RIGHT OF ACCESS TO CONFIDENTIAL DATA PROVIDED BY THE PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS INDICATE A MUCH BROADER PROBLEM THAT WILL IMPACT ON OUR ABILITY OR THAT OF OTHER INDEPENDENT EVALUATORS TO EFFECTIVELY MONITOR FUTURE SOCIAL RESEARCH EXPERIMENTS SPONSORED BY FEDERAL AGENCIES. AS A GENERAL RULE, WE NEED ACESS TO ALL EXPERIMENTAL DATA TO EFFECTIVELY CARRY OUT OUR RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE CONGRESS. HOWEVER, WE RECOGNIZE THAT THIS NEED FOR ACCESS MIGHT VARY DEPENDING UPON THE NATURE AND SUBJECT MATTER OF A GIVEN EXPERIMENT.

WE ARE WORKING WITH VARIOUS MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH COM-MUNITY TO IDENTIFY AND DEVELOP EFFECTIVE REVIEW METHODS THAT WILL MEET OUR NEEDS AND THOSE OF THE CONGRESS WITHOUT RISK TO AN EXPERIMENT'S RESEARCH OBJECTIVES. WE ENTERED INTO A CON-TRACT WITH THE SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH COUNCIL IN MARCH 1977 TO MAKE A STUDY WHICH WOULD ASSIST US IN DEVELOPING METHODS AND TECHNIQUES FOR AUDITING SOCIAL EXPERIMENTS. THIS STUDY IS STILL UNDERWAY. UNTIL OTHER REVIEW METHODS ARE DEVELOPED, WE BELIEVE THAT THE EXTENT TO WHICH DATA PROVIDED AS PART OF A SOCIAL EXPERIMENT IS TO BE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL MUST BE DETERMINED ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS BEFORE A GRANT OR CONTRACT IS AWARDED.

IN ADDITION TO THIS WORK ON LARGE SCALE SOCIAL EXPERIMENTS, WE WILL SOON DE ISSUING A REPORT ON OUR ASSESSMENT OF
HUD'S PROGRAM EVALUATION EFFORTS. THIS IS THE FIRST IN A
SERIES OF REPORTS ASSESSING OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF AN EVALUATION SYSTEM IN MEETING THE NEEDS OF DECISIONMAKERS AT
DEPARTMENTAL AND CONGRESSIONAL LEVELS.

EVALUATIONS ARE BECOMING INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT IN DEVELOPING FEDERAL POLICIES AND MANAGING FEDERAL PROGRAMS. WE BELIEVE THAT A WELL-DIRECTED EVALUATION SYSTEM CAN SUPPLY THE NEEDS OF MANAGEMENT FOR EVIDENCE ON WHAT THE AGENCIES' PROGRAMS ACCOMPLISH, HOW THESE ACCOMPLISHMENTS COMPARE WITH INTENDED OBJECTIVES OF THE AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION, AND HOW EFFECTIVELY PROGRAM RESOURCES ARE MANAGED.

OUR ASSESSMENT OF HUD'S EVALUATION SYSTEM IS SIMILAR TO
THE RESULTS OF OUR EHAP REVIEW IN THAT, ALTHOUGH THE SYSTEM
ACCUMULATES USEFUL INFORMATION, IT IS NOT REALIZING ITS FULL
POTENTIAL FOR PROVIDING DECISIONMAKERS WITH INFORMATION ON
PROGRAM ACHIEVEMENT. WE FOUND MANY OF THE STUDIES WERE
RESEARCH-ORIENTED AND WERE NOT DESIGNED TO DETERMINE A PROGRAM'S ACHIEVEMENT OF OBJECTIVES. MANY OF THESE STUDIES, LIKE

EHAP, USED SIMULATION MODELING AND OTHER ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES THAT INVOLVE ASSUMPTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS. THESE STUDIES, WHILE PROVIDING USEFUL INFORMATION, DID NOT PROVIDE THE KIND OF EVIDENCE AND DOCUMENTATION NEEDED TO REMOVE UNCERTAINTIES AND CONFIDENTLY SUGGEST SPECIFIC COURSES OF ACTION.

SOME OF THE ACTIONS WE ARE EXPLORING TO IMPROVE THIS SITUATION ARE:

- --THE NEED FOR EVALUATION AND PROGRAM PERSONNEL TO WORK

 TOGETHER TO CLARIFY PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, DEVELOP STAND
 ARDS FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT AND IDENTIFY DATA

 REQUIREMENTS FOR EVALUATION;
- --- INCREASED EMPHASIS ON THOSE HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY HUD, THE CONGRESS AND OTHERS
 AS DESERVING ATTENTION;
- --THE NEED FOR MORE INFORMATION ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROGRAMS IN ACHIEVING OBJECTIVES; AND
- --THE NEED TO IDENTIFY RESOURCES REQUIRED TO EVALUATE
 ONGOING PROGRAMS AND CONDUCT AND EVALUATE RESEARCH AND
 DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS.

WHERE EVALUATIONS BECOME MANDATED BY LEGISLATION OR ARE NEEDED BY A CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE, THE AGENCY SHOULD WORK WITH THE COMMITTEE TO

--SEEK A COMMON UNDERSTANDING ON THE PROCESS OR APPROACH
TO BE USED FOR CLARIFYING PROGRAM CBJ. TIVES FOR
EVALUATION;

- --REACH AGREEMENT ON ACCEPTABLE EVALUATION MEASURES AND DATA NEEDED FOR EACH PROGRAM TO BE EVALUATED; AND
- --ESTABLISH A TIME SCHEDULE FOR THE AVAILABILITY OF EVALUATIVE INFORMATION.

THIS CONCLUDES MY PREPARED STATEMENT BUT WE WILL BE GLAD TO RESPOND TO ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.