GAO

United States General Accounting Office 1338 | 5

Report to the Chairman of the Subcommittee on Human Resources, Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, House of Representatives

August 1987

ETHICS ENFORCEMENT

Filing and Review of the Attorney General's Financial Disclosure Report





	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
 	 		



United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

General Government Division

B-225267

August 4, 1987

The Honorable Gerry Sikorski Chairman, Subcommittee on Human Resources Committee on Post Office and Civil Service House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This report responds to your April 30, 1987, request and to subsequent discussions with your office that we determine whether (1) Attorney General Edwin Meese III's public financial disclosure report for 1985 satisfied the requirements of the Ethics in Government Act (Public Law 95-521, as amended) and (2) the Department of Justice and the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) properly reviewed the Attorney General's disclosure report. The legal requirements for financial disclosure reports and reviews, the chronology of events in the filing and review of the Attorney General's report, and our analysis of those events in light of the statutory requirements are summarized below and discussed in detail in appendix I.

In brief, we found that the Attorney General did not disclose the assets in his partnership with Financial Management International. Inc. (FMII), as required by the Ethics in Government Act. The act permits nondisclosure of the assets of a private investment arrangement only if that arrangement is one of three types of statutorily exempt trusts. Mr. Meese's partnership with FMII did not meet the standards set forth in the act for the creation of such trusts. Therefore, Mr. Meese's disclosure form should have reported the identity of any partnership investment asset held at the end of 1985 that exceeded \$1,000; its general category of value; and the source, type, and amount of income exceeding \$100 that was generated by any partnership asset during 1985. The form should have also reported any partnership purchase, sale, or exchange of a stock, bond, or other security or of any real property interest exceeding \$1,000. Finally, the form should have identified the investment vehicle by its legal name of "Meese Partners." not FMII.

We also concluded that while certain aspects of the report were questioned and corrected, neither the Department of Justice nor OGE obtained the required information about the partnership during their reviews of Mr. Meese's disclosure report. Justice Department officials discussed the partnership with Mr. Meese and obtained certain information about the partner, FMII. However, they excused disclosure of underlying assets on the basis of Mr. Meese's characterization of the partnership as "blind."

AMPA .

even though it did not meet the requirements in the Ethics Act for a statutorily exempt trust OGE officials, on the other hand, did not question the partnership until it was called to their attention by the media. They have since notified Justice Department officials that they do not recognize "blind" arrangements created by the filer's own action. As of July 29, 1987, both Justice and OGE were still reviewing Mr. Meese's 1985 disclosure report.

At your request, we did not obtain official agency comments on this report. We did discuss the report with OGE officials, who said that they agreed with its substance. Department of Justice officials said they could not meet with us to discuss the report due to time constraints. As arranged with your staff, unless you publicly announce the contents of this report earlier, we plan no further distribution until 10 days from the date of publication. At that time, we will send copies to interested parties and make copies available to others upon request.

Sincerely yours.

marradono. P. CE

William J. Anderson Assistant Comptroller General

D.,		->
ги	L.	.)

 $|\mathcal{U}|$

Contents

Letter		1
Appendix I The Filing and Review of the Attorney General's Financial Disclosure Report	Objectives, Scope, and Methodology Legal Requirements for Filing and Review of Financial Disclosure Statements Chronology of Events in the Filing and Review of the Attorney General's Report Conclusions Regarding the Filing and Review of the Attorney General's Report	6 6 6 10
Appendix II Statutory Requirements for the Creation of Exempt Trusts		20
Appendix III Attorney General Meese's Financial Disclosure Report for 1985		21

Abbreviations

FMII	Financial Management International, Inc.
DAEO	Designated Agency Ethics Official
OGE	Office of Government Ethics

age 5	GAO GGD-87-108 Ethics Enforcement

Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

The objectives of our review were to determine whether (1) Attorney General Meese's public financial disclosure report for 1985 satisfied the requirements of the Ethics in Government Act (Public Law 95-521, as amended); and whether (2) the Department of Justice and the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) properly reviewed the Attorney General's disclosure report. We conducted our work between May and July 1987 by reviewing the laws and regulations pertaining to the financial disclosure process. We also interviewed current and former officials of the Department of Justice and OGE who were involved in the review of the Attorney General's disclosure report, and we reviewed relevant documents. At the requester's direction, we did not obtain official agency comments on this report, but we did discuss the report with OGE officials. They generally agreed with the substance of the report. Department of Justice officials said they could not meet with us to discuss the report due to time constraints. Our review was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Legal Requirements for Filing and Review of Financial Disclosure Statements

The Ethics in Government Act and its implementing regulations contain requirements for the filing of financial disclosure reports and for the review of those reports. The reporting and review requirements that were applicable to Attorney General Meese's disclosure report are discussed below.

Reporting Requirements

Under section 201(d) of the Ethics in Government Act, incumbents of high-level executive branch positions must file a public financial disclosure report (Standard Form 278) on or before May 15 of each year unless an extension is granted by the reviewing official. In the annual report, the filing official must disclose a number of details about his or her financial affairs during the previous calendar year, including his or her income, interests in property, liabilities, and gifts and reimbursements.

Property interests that must be disclosed annually include assets such as stocks and bonds, beneficial interests in trusts, and interests in a business, partnership, or joint venture. Specifically, section 202(a) of the act

¹The officials required to file such annual reports are identified in section 201(f) of the Ethics Act. The act also requires two other types of disclosure reports: (1) certain new appointees, presidential nominees, and presidential and vice presidential candidates must file a financial disclosure report pursuant to sections 201(a)-(c) and 202(b); and (2) individuals terminating employment in a position described in section 201(f) must file a termination report pursuant to section 201(e)

requires that an individual report the identity and category of value of each of his assets held at the close of the preceding calendar year that had a fair market value in excess of \$1,000. The individual must also disclose the source, type, and value of income over \$100 attributable to any asset held at any time during the preceding year. If the individual has purchased, sold, or exchanged an interest in real property or a stock, bond, or other form of security within the preceding calendar year, and the amount of the transaction exceeded \$1,000, then the individual must briefly describe the transaction and identify its date and general category of value as required by section 202(a) of the act.

In addition to these general requirements with respect to the disclosure of interests in property, section 202(f) of the Ethics Act further specifies that:

- "(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), each reporting individual shall report the information required to be reported... with respect to the holdings of and the income from a trust or other financial arrangement from which income is received by, or with respect to which a beneficial interest in principal or income is held by, such individual, his spouse, or any dependent child.
- ``(2) A reporting individual need not report the holdings of or the source of income from any of the holdings of
- —(A) any qualified blind trust (as defined in paragraph [3]); or
- —(B) a trust (i) which was not created directly by such individual, his spouse, or any dependent child, and (ii) the holdings or sources of income of which such individual, his spouse, and any dependent child have no knowledge of, but such individual shall report the category of the amount of income received by him, his spouse, or any dependent child from the trust under subsection (a)(1)(B) of this subsection."

Therefore, under section 202(f) of the act, an individual holding an income or an equity interest in a "financial arrangement" must disclose each asset in the arrangement unless the arrangement is an exempt trust that meets the specific statutory standards for its creation. Three types of such exempt trusts are permitted under the act: (1) a qualified blind trust, (2) a qualified diversified trust, and (3) an excepted trust. Appendix II describes the statutory standards for the creation of each type of trust. For example, one of the statutory requirements for the creation of a qualified blind trust is that the proposed trustee and the proposed trust instrument be approved in advance by the official's supervising ethics office, which, in the case of a presidential appointee such as the Attorney General, is the Office of Government Ethics. Although an excepted trust need not be pre-approved by OGE, the act specifies that it must be created without action or involvement by the employee.

In imposing specific standards for the establishment and maintenance of blind trusts, Congress explained that the absence of such standards in the past had resulted in a proliferation of "blind" arrangements for which there were no legal assurances of actual blindness, causing the public to question whether those devices provided an effective and ethical means of shielding officials from conflict-of-interest restrictions.² Additionally, Congress indicated that the Ethics Act's exemption of only those blind trusts meeting narrowly drawn standards reflected a careful weighing of the desirability of such trusts with the "legitimate interests of the public to have disclosure of the financial holdings of public officials." Against this background, Congress explained that it viewed the provisions which became enacted as section 202(f)(1) as imposing a clear requirement that the assets of any financial arrangement other than a trust meeting the statutory criteria be disclosed annually, notwithstanding the nature of the financial arrangement.⁴

Therefore, an individual who holds an interest in any private investment vehicle other than a statutorily exempt trust is legally obligated to disclose the assets of the investment vehicle just as if the assets were held outright. Specifically, the individual is required to report on Schedule A of Form 278 the identity of each asset held by the investment vehicle at the end of the calendar year that exceeds \$1,000 and its general category of value. Also, the individual must report on Schedule A the source, type, and value of income exceeding \$100 that was generated by any asset held by the investment vehicle at any time during the preceding calendar year. In the event that any asset consisting of a stock, bond, or other form of security or an interest in real property was purchased, sold, or exchanged during the preceding calendar year and the amount of the transaction exceeded \$1,000, the individual would also be required to describe the transaction and state its date and category of value on Schedule B of Form 278.

One exception to full disclosure of assets in the report is permitted. Under OGE's instructions accompanying Form 278, a filer is excused

²S. Rep. No. 639, 95th Cong., 2d Sess. 4-9 (1978)

³Id. at 12.

⁴Id. at 15. See also H. R. Rep. No. 1756, 95th Cong., 2d Sess. 72, reprinted in 1978 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 4381, 4388.

⁵See, for example, OGE Opinion 86x3, April 3, 1986, in which OGE interpreted the disclosure requirement in section 202(f)(1) as obligating an individual who participates in a private investment club to disclose all of the club's assets.

from detailing the holdings and day-to-day transactions of a public investment vehicle if the vehicle's portfolio is described in standard reference materials such as Moody's Bank and Finance Manual.⁶ For example, an individual with an IRA invested solely in a mutual fund would be required to report only the name of the fund (and relevant income information) if the fund's portfolio is fully described in Moody's Bank and Finance Manual and therefore can be evaluated independently by the reviewing official.⁷

Review Requirements

The Ethics Act and its implementing regulations provide that an incumbent of a position requiring Senate confirmation must file his or her financial disclosure report with the reviewing official in the employing agency. That official must review the financial disclosure report within 60 days after the date of filing and transmit the report to OGE. OGE must review the report within 60 days after the date of its transmittal.

The reviewing responsibilities of the employing agency and OGE with respect to a presidential appointee's annual report are the same. These responsibilities are described in section 206(b) of the act as implemented by 5 C.F.R. 734.604(b). If, after reviewing a financial disclosure report, the reviewing official believes that the report is complete and in compliance with applicable law and regulations, he or she signs and dates the report. If, on the other hand, the reviewing official believes that additional information is required, the reviewer must request that information from the filing individual and set a date by which the information must be submitted. When the reviewing official receives the requested information, he or she must add it to the financial disclosure report.

If the reviewing official then determines that the supplemented report is complete and satisfies relevant legal requirements, he or she signs and dates the report. If the reviewer concludes that the report discloses a conflict of interest or otherwise is not in compliance with ethics laws and regulations, the reviewer must notify the individual and afford him or her a reasonable opportunity for a written or oral response. If, after considering the response, the reviewing official determines that the individual is in compliance with the law and regulations, the reviewer signs and dates the report. If not, he or she must notify the filing individual of the noncompliance and, after an opportunity for personal consultation,

[&]quot;See General Instructions at Vec) Schedule A Instructions at IIB, and Schedule B Instructions at IA

See Schedule A Instructions at III)

must notify the individual of appropriate remedial steps to assure compliance with the law and the date by which such steps must be taken. Appropriate remedial steps may include divestiture of a conflicting interest, recusal from involvement in issues that may pose a conflict, or establishment of a qualified trust

If the filing official complies with the request for remedial action, the reviewing official must note this compliance on the report and then sign and date the report. If the requested remedial action is not taken, the reviewing official must refer the matter to the President for appropriate action.

Chronology of Events in the Filing and Review of the Attorney General's Report

As discussed above, section 201(d) of the Ethics Act requires incumbents of high-level executive branch positions to file a public financial disclosure report by May 15 of the year following the reporting year. Thus, Attorney General Meese was required to file his public disclosure report for 1985 by May 15, 1986. On May 9, 1986, Mr. Meese requested and was granted a 20-day extension for filing his report by the Associate Attorney General (the reviewing official for the Attorney General's report), thereby making the document due on June 4, 1986. In his request, Mr. Meese said he needed additional time to compile information regarding the dates and amounts of financial transactions carried out as part of the divestiture he undertook upon assuming office as Attorney General in February 1985. A second extension of 15 days was asked for and was granted by the Associate Attorney General on June 3. 1986, with Mr. Meese citing the "press of business and my recent illness" as reasons why the compilation of information had not been completed. With that extension, the disclosure report was due on June 19, 1986, and Mr. Meese filed the report with the Associate Attorney General on that day. (See app. III for a copy of Mr. Meese's disclosure report for 1985.)

That disclosure report was the first incumbent report filed by Mr. Meese as Attorney General. He had, however, filed the required nominee's financial disclosure report on January 10, 1985, shortly after he was nominated as Attorney General. This report was approved by oge on January 24, 1985. On Schedule A of the 1985 incumbent report, Mr. Meese listed 38 assets or sources of income, most of which were valued at or below \$5,000. The largest of his assets was identified as "Financial"

The total 35-day extension granted Mr. Meese was authorized by section 204 g) of the Ethes. Vet, as implemented by the Department's regulations in 28 C.F.R. 45.7-35(270) x^2 .

Management International, Inc. (limited blind partnership)" (FMII), valued at between \$50,001 and \$100,000. The report listed dividend income from the partnership of between \$5,001 and \$15,000 for the reporting period. FMII was the only asset listed on Schedule B of the report as purchased during the 1985 reporting period; 28 of the 37 remaining assets and income sources were listed as having been sold during 1985. According to documents made public by Mr. Meese's attorneys, the sale of certain of the Attorney General's assets financed the purchase of the partnership with FMII and precluded the Attorney General from "controlling or knowing what was done with his money."

Justice Review of the Disclosure Report

On June 20, 1986, the Deputy Associate Attorney General, acting for the Associate Attorney General, forwarded the report to the Department's Designated Agency Ethics Official (DAEO), who was the Assistant Attorney General for Administration within the Justice Management Division. The Associate Attorney General said he sent the form to the Assistant Attorney General because the Assistant Attorney General's office was more knowledgeable about conflict-of-interest law than he was, and that this was his standard procedure for conducting such reviews. The Assistant Attorney General, in turn, had the report reviewed by the General Counsel for the Justice Management Division (who also served as the Alternate Agency Ethics Official) and a staff attorney within that Division. On June 23, 1986, the General Counsel referred the form to the Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Counsel, who was the Deputy DAEO for the Office of the Attorney General

After completing their initial review of the form, the General Counsel and the staff attorney had several questions regarding items in the disclosure statement. Some of the questions were resolved by determining whether certain gifts and travel expenses had been paid for by firms that qualified as organizations exempt from taxation under 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3). The General Counsel then talked with the Assistant to the Attorney General and Chief of Staff in the Office of the Attorney General about several other questions they had regarding Mr. Meese's disclosure report. As a result of those discussions, two gifts that were not required to be listed were taken off the form. The Assistant Attorney General and the General Counsel met with Mr. Meese to discuss four issues that were not resolved.

[&]quot;Although documents indicate that the form was sent to the Office of Legal Coimsel, no one in that Office recalls having reviewed Mr. Meese's disclosure report.

The first issue they discussed concerned two assets listed in the report—stock in Santa Fe Southern Pacific and the Los Angeles Housing Authority—that had been described on a May 24, 1985, recusal notice as having been sold. Mr. Meese had sent this notice to the heads of offices, bureaus, boards, and divisions within the Department of Justice and all personnel within the Office of the Attorney General. The General Counsel said that they concluded the recusal notice was in error, and the disclosure report correctly disclosed that the two assets had not been sold as of the end of the calendar year. The second issue involved consulting fees owed to Mr. Meese's wife by the William Moss Institute, which had been listed on his disclosure report as a liability. The General Counsel said they determined this should have been listed as an asset, and Mr. Meese agreed to do so.

The third issue involved legal fees and expenses incurred by Mr. Meese's attorneys during an independent counsel investigation at the time of his nomination as Attorney General in 1984. The fees were listed as a liability of "over \$250,000" on the 1985 nomination and incumbent disclosure statements. The nomination disclosure statement indicated that reimbursement of legal fees and expenses by the U.S. Court of Appeals had been applied for pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. 593(g) and that the amount, if any, of Mr. Meese's ultimate liability for such fees and expenses was dependent upon the court's action on the application.¹⁰ On the incumbent report as originally drafted, the legal fees were still listed as a liability, but a note had been added that the liability had been satisfied pursuant to the order of the Court of Appeals. The General Counsel and the staff attorney said they knew from newspaper accounts that the order had granted less than the attorneys had originally requested, and they questioned whether Mr. Meese was still hable for the remainder of the legal fees. However, the General Counsel said that Mr. Meese told her that the law firms had accepted the court award as full satisfaction of the obligation, thereby eliminating any question of a continuing liability. Also, the staff attorney said that she and the General Counsel decided that the attorneys' acceptance of the court award did not "rise to the nature" of a reportable gift because it was common practice in similar cases for attorneys to accept court awards as full payment of legal fees. She said they did require that the incumbent

¹⁰An amendment to the Ethics in Government Act. Public Law 97-409 (Jan. 3, 1983), permitted the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit to award reimbursement, at the court's discretion, for all or part of the attorney's fees incurred by the subject of an independent counsel investigation during that investigation if (1) no indictment is brought against the subject and (2) the attorney's fees would not have been incurred but for the investigation. Mr. Meese's asked for reimbursement of \$720.824.49, and was awarded \$472.190.00.

report be amended by adding a statement that "by prior agreement the law firms have accepted the court award as full satisfaction of the obligation," and Mr. Meese agreed to do so.

The fourth issue raised with Mr. Meese by the General Counsel and the Assistant Attorney General was his listing of the FMII limited blind partnership. The General Counsel said she asked Mr. Meese to describe the partnership more fully, and he told her that it was a California partnership with a general partner and limited partners. He said he did not know how the money in the partnership had been invested because it was a blind partnership, and he received only quarterly reports on the value of the asset.

On July 1, 1986, the General Counsel used the computers in the Department's Antitrust Division to determine what information was available concerning FMII in the computerized Dun and Bradstreet listing of businesses. If She found, among other things, that FMII was listed as an investment counselor which sold to the general public; that W. Franklyn Chinn was described as president, sole owner, and sole employee of FMII; and that the company operated from the residence of Mr. Chinn.

The General Counsel said that she considered the limited blind partnership to be similar to a mutual fund or limited partnership. Normally, she said, the nature of the business would have to be listed on the disclosure form, but in this case that was not possible because Mr. Meese did not know how the assets were invested. She said that the emphasis in Justice is that an asset be reported, not that all possible information about that asset be presented. The General Counsel said that under 18 U.S.C. 208, officials cannot act in matters involving a company in which they know they have a financial interest. Since the investments made by Mr. Meese's partnership were reported to be blind, the General Counsel concluded that Mr. Meese could not know of any financial interest aside from the financial interests of the general partner (FMII). Both the General Counsel and the staff attorney who conducted the review said they did not attempt to determine whether the investments made through the partnership were really blind to Mr. Meese (e.g., by requesting and reviewing the partnership agreement) because they said they depend on

¹⁴ Dun's Marketing Services, a company of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, publishes the "Million Dollar Directory," which includes general information about the 160,000 U.S. businesses with an indicated net worth of over \$500,000. For example, the Directory lists the name of the business, the names of officers and executives, and the firm's principal line of business. The computerized usting from the Department's Antitrust Division that was used by the General Counsel to research FMII includes smaller companies not included in the published listing.

filers to be truthful in their disclosure statements. Neither did they contact oge regarding the limited blind partnership.

On July 1, 1986, the Assistant Attorney General signed the form in the space for the "Designated Agency Ethics Official, Reviewing Official," although Justice officials said that space should have been reserved for the Associate Attorney General. On July 3, 1986, the Associate Attorney General signed the form as an "Other Reviewer." The Associate Attorney General said his role in the review process was to ensure that the form was complete and had been reviewed by someone knowledgeable about conflict of interest law. He said he believes the burden is on the filer to be accurate, and said he has no opinion as to whether the citation of a "limited blind partnership" was sufficient information to determine whether a conflict-of-interest existed. After being signed by the reviewing officials, the form was sent to the Department's Personnel Office for transmittal to the Office of Government Ethics. According to Justice officials, the Personnel Office collects disclosure statements from all officials in the agency and sends them to OGE as a group by September 30.

OGE Review of the Disclosure Report

Mr. Meese's financial disclosure report was received by OGE on September 29, 1986. According to OGE officials, the disclosure report was initially reviewed by an analyst in oge's Monitoring and Compliance Division, who raised certain questions regarding the gifts and reimbursements reported on the form. According to the OGE Director, this initial first level review was completed on November 17, 1986. The report was then forwarded for review to the OGE Chief Counsel, who also raised certain questions concerning reported gifts. Some of those questions included whether gifts came from personal friends, whether gifts came from charitable organizations recognized within 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3), and the applicability of the Foreign Gifts and Decorations Act. According to the Justice Department's staff attorney who reviewed Mr. Meese's report, the OGE Chief Counsel called her on November 20 and November 24, 1986, and asked questions about the gifts, trips, and taxexempt status of organizations reported on the form. The Chief Counsel said that after a lengthy process involving numerous telephone calls and other research, he resolved the questions that had been raised sometime between January and March 1987.

OGE officials said they did not raise any questions regarding the limited blind partnership during the course of their review. The OGE Director testified in hearings before a Senate Subcommittee that the analyst

noted the limited blind partnership during his review, but he incorrectly assumed that it was a pooled arrangement or similar to an excepted trust and did not raise it with an OGE attorney. The OGE Director said he first learned of questions regarding the partnership when reporters called him in mid-April 1987, shortly before publication of news accounts about the partnership. The Department of Justice's General Counsel who reviewed the form said that after the issue was made public, the OGE Chief Counsel called her and requested a copy of the partnership agreement, which she said she did not have.

On April 28, 1987, the OGE Director wrote to the General Counsel at the Department of Justice who had conducted the review of Mr. Meese's disclosure report and requested a copy of the limited partnership agreement and any underlying documentation that established the character and nature of Mr. Meese's interests in the FMII limited partnership. In that letter, the OGE Director noted that the basic instructions to the financial disclosure form require that "in the case of holdings that are nonpublic such as privately held limited partnership interests, sufficient disclosure must be made to give reviewers an adequate basis for the conflicts analysis required by the Ethics in Government Act." The Director also stated that OGE does not recognize "blind" arrangements created by a filer's own action and included an informal advisory opinion, cited in appendix II, that discusses this principle.

Shortly after sending this letter, the OGE Director said he called the Deputy Attorney General to determine the status of the Justice Department's investigation of individuals involved in the Wedtech Corporation and to determine whether Mr. Meese may become a subject of that criminal investigation. The OGE Director said that the Deputy Attorney General told him that Mr. Meese had requested the appointment of an independent counsel to investigate any wrongdoing on his part in relation to the Wedtech Corporation. The OGE Director said his Office then stopped their investigation and processing of Mr. Meese's disclosure statement because of OGE's policy of deferring any action on its part pending completion of a criminal investigation. The OGE Director also called the Justice Department's General Counsel from whom he had

 $^{^{12}}$ Testimony before the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management of the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee (July 9, 1987)

¹³In testimony on this issue before the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management of the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee on July 9, 1987, the OGE Director said that if the underlying documents revealed enough information to make a conflicts analysis, further disclosure would not be required. If the documents were insufficient for that analysis, the entire assets of the limited blind partnership would have to be disclosed.

requested the partnership agreement in early May 1986 and agreed with her that she should postpone any further review or inquiries concerning the Attorney General's financial disclosure form. On May 13, 1987, the General Counsel confirmed that agreement through a letter sent to the OGE Director and, in light of the subsequent announcement by the Deputy Attorney General that the Independent Counsel would be investigating Mr. Meese, she presumed that OGE's request for further information was withdrawn until she heard from him again.

On July 1, 1987, the Associate Attorney General called the OGE Director seeking advice regarding the limited blind partnership described on Mr. Meese's financial disclosure report for 1986, which was filed on June 15, 1987. After determining that the Independent Counsel had no objection to OGE's proceeding with the disclosure review, the OGE Director advised the Assistant Attorney General for Administration within the Justice Management Division that he should proceed with his analysis and forward to OGE the underlying documents to determine if a conflicts analysis could be made on the basis of those documents. The OGE Director said that the Associate Attorney General told him that those documents were insufficient for a conflicts analysis, at which time the OGE Director said he told the Associate Attorney General that disclosure of the assets would probably be required. The OGE Director recently testified that he had reviewed the documents and concluded that the holdings had to be disclosed.14 He also noted that his Office expects to send a letter to the Justice DAEO indicating what questions will have to be addressed by Justice before OGE can certify the disclosure report for 1986. As of July 29, 1987, OGE and the Department of Justice were still reviewing Mr. Meese's 1985 disclosure report.

Conclusions Regarding the Filing and Review of the Attorney General's Report Attorney General Meese, in his 1985 financial disclosure report, did not disclose the assets held, purchased, or sold by his partnership with FMII, or the income attributable to specific assets of the partnership, as required by the Ethics in Government Act. Also, although certain items were questioned and corrected with regard to other aspects of the report, the Department of Justice and OGE did not obtain the information necessary to identify the partnership investments during their reviews.

¹⁴Testimony before the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management of the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee (July 9, 1987).

Disclosure of FMII Partnership Was Insufficient

As previously discussed, the Ethics in Government Act requires an official to disclose the underlying assets of a private investment arrangement unless the arrangement qualifies as one of three types of trusts meeting specific statutory standards. (See app. II for a discussion of those standards.) Mr. Meese's partnership with FMII did not constitute one of those three types of trusts for a number of reasons. For example, it could not be considered a "qualified blind trust" or a "qualified diversified trust" because the arrangement was not preapproved by OGE. The partnership could not be considered an "excepted trust" because Mr. Meese and his wife participated in its formation. Accordingly, the "blind" label affixed to the partnership did not insulate its underlying assets from disclosure under the Ethics Act. The act therefore required Mr. Meese to fully disclose the assets of the partnership, just as if he had held the assets directly. That is, he was required to list on Schedule A of the disclosure report any asset held by the partnership at the end of 1985 with a value in excess of \$1,000 and its general category of value. Also, he was required to report on Schedule A the source, type, and amount of income exceeding \$100 that was generated by any asset held by the partnership at any time during 1985. Mr. Meese did not so disclose the individual assets of the partnership. Instead, he incorrectly reported the partnership itself as a single asset.

Mr. Meese was also required by the Ethics Act to report on Schedule B of the disclosure report any partnership purchase, sale, or exchange of any stock, bond, or other form of security or of any real property interest if the amount of the transaction exceeded \$1,000. According to a statement made public by Mr. Meese's attorneys on July 6, 1987, FMII invested partnership funds in 11 "same-day trades" of securities during 1985. The statement lists only the gross income or loss from each trade and does not indicate whether the individual purchases and sales exceeded the \$1,000 disclosure threshold. However, monthly account statements of the trading account for the partnership indicate that each of the individual purchases and sales in 1985 exceeded \$1,000 Mr. Meese did not report any of those transactions on his disclosure form, indicating only that he purchased FMII during 1985. Since all of the FMII transactions exceeded \$1,000, Mr. Meese should have detailed these transactions on his disclosure report.

Furthermore, Mr. Meese inaccurately identified the partnership as "Financial Management International, Inc." FMII was actually the general partner that managed the investments of the two limited partners, Mr and Mrs. Meese. Neither Mr. nor Mrs. Meese owned any part of Financial

Management International, Inc. The legal name of the partnership was, according to the partnership agreement, "Meese Partners."

Justice and OGE Reviews Did Not Obtain the Required Information

10

Both the Department of Justice and OGE were required by the Ethics in Government Act to review Mr. Meese's disclosure report for completeness and compliance with the ethics laws and regulations and to apprise Mr. Meese if additional information was required. However, neither Justice nor OGE obtained information from Mr. Meese concerning the holdings of his partnership and the transactions involving those holdings as required by the disclosure provisions of the Ethics Act.

As discussed previously, the Department of Justice officials who reviewed Mr. Meese's disclosure report questioned several items and corrected the report in some respects. They also met with Mr. Meese to obtain further information about the partnership. Mr. Meese told the officials that the partnership was established in California, that there was a general partner and limited partners, and that he was not aware of the assets of the partnership. Department of Justice officials accepted the nondisclosure of those assets because of Mr. Meese's statement that the partnership was blind. However, the asserted "blind" nature of an investment arrangement does not excuse a reviewing official from requiring that the underlying assets be disclosed unless the arrangement constitutes a statutorily exempt trust.

The only other information obtained by Justice Department officials was a Dun and Bradstreet computerized listing that identified fmil as an investment counselor and generally described the firm's structure and operations. Since the listing did not provide any information concerning the assets in which fmil had invested on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Meese, it failed to satisfy the Ethics Act's disclosure requirements. Only a public listing of an investment vehicle's portfolio, such as the type provided by Moody's Bank and Finance Manual, will excuse a filing official from detailing the assets held by an investment vehicle on his financial disclosure report.

As noted in the chronology, OGE officials did not question the partnership or request additional information until April 1987. In testimony before the Senate Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management in July 1987, the OGE Director said that had the asset been described correctly as "Meese Partners" instead of FMII, the private

> را . ولاالغواء

character of the investment arrangement would have been more apparent and OGE analysts would have been more likely to raise questions about the arrangement.

Statutory Requirements for the Creation of Exempt Trusts

The Ethics Act sets forth standards under which three types of trusts may be exempted from general disclosure requirements. The basic requirements for the first type, a qualified blind trust, are: (1) the individual or institution in charge of the trust must be truly independent from the government official (section 202[f][3][A]); (2) the trust instrument must meet certain minimum standards (e.g., the instrument must prohibit communications between the trustee and the interested party concerning the identity of the trust holdings and sources of income) (section 202[f[3]C]); and (3) the proposed trustee and the proposed trust instrument must be approved by the official's supervising ethics office (section 202[f[3]D]), which in the case of a presidential appointee is the Office of Government Ethics. A qualified blind trust is blind only as to those assets acquired by the trustee. A government official must annually report on any asset that he initially placed into the trust, and he remains subject to conflict-of-interest restrictions with respect to the asset until the trustee notifies him that the asset has been sold or has become less than \$1,000 in value (section 202[f][4][A]).

Under 202(f)(4)(B), a qualified diversified trust may be established for the benefit of a presidential appointee if it is preapproved by the Director of OGE in concurrence with the Attorney General. Not only must a diversified trust meet certain requirements applicable to qualified blind trusts (e.g., an independent trustee), it also must conform to additional, special criteria. For example, a diversified trust must consist of a "well-diversified portfolio of readily marketable securities," and no security may be held if the issuing entity has "substantial activities in the area of the reporting individual's primary area of responsibility" (section 202[f][4][B]).

The final type of trust recognized by the Ethics Act is an excepted trust. The basic features of such a trust are: (1) the trust must not have been created directly by the reporting individual, his spouse, or dependents: and (2) the reporting individual, his spouse, and dependents may not have any knowledge of the identity of the trust holdings or sources of income (section 202[f|[2|[B]])). In an informal advisory opinion, oge held that a filing official cannot create an excepted trust by his own action. (See oge Opinion 84x8, June 4, 1984).

Reputition Status (Check Appropriate E	lar l		Agency Use Only	OGE Use Only	Date of Appropriate Condiding	
	New Entent Normans	- Term nation			Fire true in Neutring and (May Day Ye	1 incontion Use III Applicables (Month Day Year)
focumber)	Last Name	Filer	First Name and Miguille in the	JEP 29 1986	The later trans	
Reporting Individual's Name	Last Marie	!	- The season of		-	1
	! 'n i 'vL 4	1	EDWIN III			
Position for Which Filing	Law of Popular to	+	Department in Agenty (If Apple		Reports	ng Periods
rosition for which rining	Actorney Cemeral	1	Department of Justi			Houses A. B. C. and Parciot D. Tr
	entitions (Number Street i to State			ne No. I'm tude Area code!		ding calendar year except for Pa
Location of Present Office	Boom 5111, Tenth 5t Wishington, b.c. 205	ind Constitution	on Ave., NW (202)	633-2001		Schedule D where you must als igreements or arrangements made
control of the Mark the Featural	Tunker produce, private production in Learning to but the Salar	***				ing year ontil the date you ble
Annalis (II Not Same as Above)	Concellor to the Pre-	. Ldent 21 Jan				re Scheduler, A. B. C. and Par
	The court of the fire	7. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	1317 1701 (0.2.166)	0117 146		Quis at the end of the period rig nd endular the date of terrimatic
Presidential Nominees	Name of Congressional Committee Co.	Madering Nationalists	Do You Intend to Circle a Guel	hed Diversibed Trust/	~	nd Candidates for President ar
Subject to Senate Confirmation			Tyes □No		Vice President Complete Se	heduler A.C. and D. (Candid a
	·		Yes No		do not file Part II of Schools	
Certification	'ignature i Literor ting fudividual		Date (Mor	th Day, Year!		i penod for Income (BLQC N.C.) a Discribe preseding caledoar ve
ittititte dag de igjere en lingi. ngde oftal tindhightad disched ghidomir ne tune i updese and	5. (m.	\ III	19 100	ne 1986	and the current calendary	car up to the date of films, and
prient to the bed of the Advisorable	- course mace	14	1		assets in BLOCK Blas of a	anvidate võu chodse thuci i will na
Other Review	Sugarfina id ilfor Han son		Data (Mor	in, Day Year)	7	nes the openhoù pened st
(If desired by agency)	1 the	•_	ļ . 	 .	preceding ralendar year ar	id the cours of calendarive in up-
	many from	>	3)	uly 1786	i '	within 31 days of the date of film
Agency Ethics Official's Opinion	Signature of Consent and Agency Fine	No. at Reviewing Of	Date (Mor	th, Day, Yourl		ment and Adjudements). Sho ement as of the date of blind
l for a characteristic and depose to the con- traction of the largest contract of the first of the con-	. 1 P J	\ n0	1.0	1 1001		ng period in the preceding te
	14 Jamenes V	Vallue		-ly 1986	Callindar vears and the ru	refit ralefidur year up to the dis
متنافيس بالنبر يعمل الطوينا وورد بالتم	•			th Day Year!	u! filing	
Office of			- Elein IMon		₹	
المتداني بمراجع البصراء وهيدا اطباق ببالوقي بالمتدر			: Esqui IMan		1	

Attorney General Meese's Financial Disclosure Report for 1985

The state of the s	Schedule	d Termination B) Of R D to vive Bottle In B) Up A rought period as eeds \$1000.	BLOCK D Transactions		· · ·	-					
	Page Number	Incumbents and Termination Files only in KLCR [1] to the very rest projects vide. Buth, commodities thems and other accurates intell in BLDR. A did you grow the rest of the very the restoring projecting panel for a value fine a very incomplete particles.		MAIN DAY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		1	: 	-	-	+ 1	
	Assets and Income	All Filers in BLOCK C report the type and afterunt of in other estimating \$100 in indige received from the design and utilities sources of though sighted in BLOCK A Your instance in the actual amount of any in other end in a type specifically noticed below. You need not in the actual amount of your spousse exemed notions with the source in BLOCK as Your may not the A qualified built of individual source with the source in BLOCK as Your may not their A qualified built of individual and individual source provide to depend section of the actual during the source of dependant of the actual source in read and has not shown adolest the first the individual received in depandant of the section	BLOCK C Income	COG 03015 COG 03015 COG 03015 COG 0305 COG	V V		× ×		×		
		All Files in BLOCK B report in the world with a sask linked in BLOCK which had a but munket adulu exceeding \$1000 at the close of the reporting period.	BLOCK B Valuation of Assets	000 3/45 000 05/45 1	++						
to be seen to be a	Herwitz Indicator Agree	All Filter. In BLCLA A report tot the dependent and an incident and receding \$1000 and fits any other assume a source of ordered week \$1000 and fits any other assumed to mean during the reporting about a fitter and private	BLOCK A Assets & Income Sources	Theority was been and the order of yourse synchronic for and the period on Child (DUT) NONE	The boson is controlled the transfer of the tr	William Could the Tours of 1997 of 1917 of	organ Lawing Catalon or the month of the control of	Take to the transfer of the second se		2000	PHENOL EUROPERE

 $\frac{d_1}{d_1} = r = -\epsilon$

Management and a second and a s		Assets and Income	Page Schedule Number
BLO	All Filen in BLOCK R report the value of value of value and value of section and if are male test value extrements from a formal tions of the reporting period	All Filers in RLOCK C report the type and amount of income exceeding \$100 or more received from the ackels and other countries of income layer in RLOCK & You must be on the actual mount of any actual amount of any actual amount of a your special will problemly hosteries. Would not report the a total amount of your spouse's eathed from one unity the source of BLOCK. A You may not them, a trust later that it is a subject of any actual amount of your spouse's seathed mount unity the source of BLOCK. A You would apply a the actual to the intervent of the special and actual and actual and actual and actual actual and actual actual actual actual and has no knowledge of the ackels in electric the material receiver to see all aqualities as an excepted this females need to the actual	Inquinberts and Termination Eilers only to EilGCR D for some rare prosperity sides. Decision commission founds and other securities found to EILGC A did you purchase with or exclusing founds the controlling particular and or for exerting period the residence for any format and particular and or a substitution of the residence for exerting period that re-seed \$1,001.
Stouts and complex holdings BLOCK A Assets & Income Sources	BLOCK B Valuation of Assets	BLOCK C INCOME	BLOCK D Transactions
Identity each asset and unrothe source of your your spoose(S) and your dependent third (DU)	000 0 000 0	1	iddo Sas.
NONE NONE NONE NONE NO TEST NO	25	15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
و اح د ادر	×	× ×	
	× ×	× ×	
	~	× ×	
	У.	, , ,	
5 light Bond	× ×		
In Anythe Housing		*	
of the party to temperate Bond			× .
PRESIDES EDITION OLABILE	-	RC H.	

No. in the second

\$100 m

The state of the s	Page Schedule Number A
OOD 0018 Section Secti	Incumbents and Termination for the first and the first and the formandites futures and other first and the securities set of rectange first first and the fi
Company Comp	BLOCK D Transactions
Early X \ Z \ Lourney X \ Z \ Z \ Lourney X \ Z \ Z \ Z \ Z \ Z \ Z \ Z \ Z \ Z \	Actual Date 1-14th Chapter 1 thrust assets 1 thrust asset 1 thrust
Ancritech X X X Bell Atlantic X X X Call Scuth X X X WYHEX X X X Saultic Telesis X X X Sw Bell X X X	7 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
Rel1 Aclantic	
NYTHEX	×
Paullic Telesis X X X SW Bell X	×
SW Br.11	и
	×
S 05 West	×
Religion of Management Interference of the Community of t	×

300_{ct}

or the second

Johann Sini II. Hayde (Sai Kang of Palison of Mail algebrati												
nothing trade-order 2. North								Page			Sct	edule
F F 10915-111							١ ١	lumbe	.	1.		В
l I												
mbents and Termination Filers only: Report any pur		ss Do not report a transaction			vee of	Trensec	ion (X)	T A	mount	of Ira	nsact or	(X)
e sale or exchange by you your spouse or dependent during the reporting period of any real property stocks	or a transaction between yo	aly as your personal residence ou your spouse or your depen			Π				ا چ	- 2	- 8 -	9
s commodities futures, and other securities when the int of the transaction exceeded \$1,000. Include trans	dent child	NONE			3	. A.	Date . Flag er.	8.8	200	8.8	000 001	8
Esum	Identification of Agets			1 1					••	\rightarrow		2
ple x y 2 dismount					 	+-	2 1 83	├	\vdash	-		+
n] 6 1					٠,	- 4	<u> 12472 -</u>	٠.		_		4_
					X	- ,	723785	1,	١,,		u da	,
VIII 5- Chillion to Manual or Corting					Η			<u>-' `-</u>			.,4	+
ntral Binking system				+	۲		723185	Le	- 1	ıın i	1,00	1
				1	_v	- 1.	723785	l x				
Central Illinois Public Service		· 		-	ťΫ	- +	,	Ť		+		+
consolidated Edison of New York				<u>-</u> L_	X		/23/85	<u> </u>			_	_ _
				1	l v	1.	723785	100			1.40	.
Conjugate to Section 1				<u> </u>	نبذ			1	<u> </u>	-		
t II s, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses imbents and Termination Filers only on the source a binef description and the value of (1) and ally of transportation kndling fixed or entertainment	received as personal Cospital family residence (2) other globaling \$100 or more in value of \$250 or more received from	lotating \$250 or more unless ality at the donor's personal or pitts received from one source a and (3) cash reimbutsements no source. Exclude given to your spouse that were given to	tally independent of the portation lodging food Government For (1) and exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source. Sufficiely exclusions.	and r I (2) e Itss v	ermbi x clud viten a	rseme e gifts garea	nis from from relat sting ther	ine U ives al n for II	S nd			
	remoursements received by	/citil spouse mar were given to	But Description				NC	NE	<u> </u>		Value	
Source /Atoma and Addon-1	Arrillos ticket, hotel	room & meats incident to national con									\$500	
Source (Name and Address) Exam Nat I Assn of Rock Collectors NY, NY											\$125	
Exam Nat I Asen of Rock Collectors NY, NY Nat I Asen of Rock Collectors NY, NY	Leather briefcms fo	r retiring president							1	4.7	500	
Exam Nat I Asen of Rock Collectors NY, NY Ples Nat I Asen of Rock Collectors NY, NY -hith-Chich Hung										- · · ·		
Esam Nati Assen of Rect Collectors NY, Ny Nati Assen of Reck Collectors NY, Ny Shin-Chieh Hung Dikland, California	Original of	I painting of Mr. Meese										
Exam Nati Assan of Rock Collectors NY, NY Nati Assan of Rock Collectors NY, NY Shin-Chich Hung Outland, California Boosters Clubs of America	Original of									\$14	4	
Fam. Natl Again of Rich Collectors NY, NY Natl Again of Rich Collectors NY, NY hith-Chiefi Hung hithard, California soosters Clubs of America San Diego Industry-Education Council	Original of Tennis shir	I painting of Mr. Meese t, shorts and shors										
Fam. Natl Agen of Rece Collectors NY, No. Natl Agen of Rece Collectors NY, NY shin-thich flung tylland, California Soosters Clubs of America San Diego Industry-Education Council San Diego, California	Original of Tennis shir	I painting of Mr. Meese								\$14 \$15		
Fam Nail Agan of Rec Collectors NY, No. Nail Asan of Reck Collectors NY, NY hith-Chief Hung hylland, Callfornia Soosters Clubs of America San Diego Industry-Education Council han Diego, Callfornia Christian Legal Society Los Angeles, Callfornia	Original of Tennis shir	I painting of Mr. Meese t, shorts and shors									n	
Esam Nati Asson of Rec Collectors NY, Ny Shin-Lifeh Hung Yelland, California Boosters Clubs of America San Diego Industry-Education Council San Diego, California Christian Legal Society Les Angeles, California Northwestern University School of Leg	Original of Trend Trend Tagle mount Plage	1 painting of Mr. Meese t, shorts and shorts ed on wooden base								\$15 \$15	0	
Esam Nati Aspin of Rec Collectors NY, Ny Nati Asam of Rec Collectors NY, Ny Nati Asam of Reck Collecto	Original of Tennis shir Lagle mount Plaque Introded 1	I painting of Mr. Meese t, shorts and shors								\$15	0	

Appendix III Attorney General Meese's Financial Disclosure Report for 1985

Figure 1. Company of the company of		Page Schadule
Transactions incumental processing the processing of the processing of the processing of the processing proces	er tenes that resculed in a key. Do not report a translation involving property used valety as your petsonal resident a or a translation between yes, your spouse or you dipendent hild. NONE	100 0018 000 0018 100 00
A control of the form Total	Liver relation of America	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		X 5/23/80 X 5/23/80 X 5/23/80 X 5/23/80 X
Southern Collaborated Edistron Options		5/23/85
Dart II Glifs, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses incumbents and Temination/Filers only Report the source. a brief description and risk value of [1] wind only of transportation location, location elementarisment.	received from one source totaling \$250 or more unless to ecoved as personal hospitality at the during presconed to a family residence. (2) other gits received from one source criticaling \$100 or more revewed from one source. Exclude gits and it elembrusements is everywed from one source.	t-ily independent of their relat brashlp to you. Exclude translation lodging food and relatious from the U.S. Government. For (1) and (2) exclude grist form relatives and exclude grist of \$35 or less when aggregating them for the first form to some See instructions for any and from the actual form one source. See instructions for any lating and lating the actual properties are the source.
Source (Name and Addings)	1 1	
Fram Nati Agen of Rock Collectors NY NY		500 E - 10 E - 1
fit Louis Bar Found aton 5t Louis Elstowrt bound Comband (personal friend) bolland (all founds)		Mr. and Mrs. Morse, July, 1985.
the Renorable Robert Endinter, Minister of	Brenze medull	011
br. and Mr. Tamer Holderman (perconditing godoup) is South Carolina. Highly Holderman in Mr. Highly Holderman in Mr. Highly Holderman in Mr. Highly Mr. Hi	Transfer which of collections even the ob- logging while ettentions metrics of Paccol during Arrive 1985 and Ortober, 1985	2450 Act of technology Community Politician
Canistructural Districturation of the Communication	attending America	ow 1771-b infinit Distitut in London 32,91

GAO
(:GD-87
208
Ethic.
Enforcement

The state of the same													
tion trouble desired									Page umber		:	Schoolul B	•
Maria Disa Cara								L					
Part I transactions													
ncumbents and Termination Filers only. Report any pur- hase, sale of exchange by you, your spruise of dependent.		hat resulted in a loss. De not report property used solely as your perso			7,	pe of	Trense	cikin (#)		- /	f Fransac	ton Ki	
hild during the reporting period of any real property, stocks	or a traffi	Saction between you your spouse o					3			- 5 - 5	وبأيو	اءدا	
conds, commodities futures, and other securities when the import of the transaction exceeded \$1,000. Include trans	dent child	1	NONE		11:		, la	Daia Najver Yel	88	20	ن رائا) قومان	· 0	
T	I dentified	mon of Ameu			4	1	:		Ľ".	:		12.4	اخـ
Fig. some fig.				-			_	- 1 <u>51</u> -	1-1	\	- +	+ - +	
					i i	٠,	ĺ		·			1 1	ł
		•		-			· i		۱ <u>.</u> . ا	r)		dot :	1
31 & 1 , n1					_ -	- +	- 4.		-			+	႕
rkin is bown and bright bond					1		- 1	37.13.83	1 - 3	. tŋ	n 1.	: (UI j	
			-		-		1		1	15	— - சு.பி.	.001	
- Lambig Circles to Bond		-	=	·	-		- +		-			1 +	-
) , stone that which thind						۸		5/24/5/		X	,	11	_ :
. York in beginning tend					i			5-21-6-	L	t b	աին	. 101	
The folk travillent to the						aris bur		Evelude	n traus				_
lest II	16.2.41	om one source totaling \$250 or	mure unless	tally independent of the	r retatu								
	received a	om one source totaling \$250 or spersonal hospitality at the dorior s	s personal or	tally independent of the portation lodging lood	and re	imbul	rseme		ne U S	;			
iifts, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses	received a family resi totaling \$1	s personal hospitality at the dorior sidence. (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) castilier.	s personal or none source noursements	purtation lodging lood Government For thrand exclude gifts of \$35 or	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	from relativ jarnig them	ne U S vos ani	; d			
iffts, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses incumbents and Termination Filers only apport the source is brief description and the value of (1).	received a family resi totaling \$1 of \$250 or	is personal hospitality at the dorior sidence. (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) castine in more received from one source. Excl	s personal or n one source nbursements lude giffs and	purtation lodging lood Government For (1) and exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source. S	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d			
iffts, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses incumbents and Termination Filers only apport the source is brief description and the value of (1).	received a family resi totaling \$1 of \$250 or	is personal hospitality at the dorior sidence. (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) castilier.	s personal or n one source nbursements lude giffs and	purtation lodging lood Government For thrand exclude gifts of \$35 or	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S vos ani	; d		v ale	
iffts, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses icumbents and Termination Filers only epon the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation, lodging, food or entertainment.	received a family resi totaling \$1 of \$250 or	is personal hospitality at the dorior sidence. (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) castine in more received from one source. Excl	s personal or none source nbursements lude giffs and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For thi and exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source. S further exclusions	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d		1:00	
iffs, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses icumbents and Termination Filers only eport the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or entertainment. Source (News and Autres)	received a family resi totaling \$1 of \$250 or	s personal hospitality at the dorior sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) cash reimmore received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that v	s personal or none source nbursements lude giffs and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d			 - -
iffs, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses icumbents and Termination Filers only epon the source is brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or entertainment. Source (Name and Accines)	received a family resi totaling \$1 of \$250 or	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) cash rein more deceived from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that you have to keep the form of meets on the cash of the form of meets or deceived by the form of meets or deceived to the form of the	s personal or none source nbursements lude giffs and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d		1:00	
iffs. Reimbursements and Travel Expenses icumbents and Termination Filers only eport the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or entertainment. Source (Name and Autres)	received a family resi- totuling \$1 of \$250 or reimbursei	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value and (3) sast rein more received from one source. Excliments received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that violating to the following from 5 meals in other countries to be forced from 5 meals in other centres to be forced from 5 meals in other centres for extending periodes.	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$125	
Stam National of fore Criecium NV NV ord National of flow Concrete NV NV in titue for Conference in fraction of flow Concrete NV NV time fraction of Conference in the National National National National One of Science in the National One of Scie	received a family resistouting \$1 of \$250 or reimbursel	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value, and (3) cash rein more deceived from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that you have to keep the form of meets on the cash of the form of meets or deceived by the form of meets or deceived to the form of the	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$1.00	
iffts, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses roumbents and Termination Filers only epon the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or entertainment. Source (Neme and Address)	received a family resistouting \$1 of \$250 or reimbursel	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value and (3) sast rein more received from one source. Excliments received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that violating to the following from 5 meals in other countries to be forced from 5 meals in other centres to be forced from 5 meals in other centres for extending periodes.	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$125	- -
ilits. Reimbursements and Travel Expenses rounbents and Termination Filers only export the source is brief description and the value of (1) is kind girts of transportation lodging food or entertainment. Source (Name and Access)	received a family resistouting \$1 of \$250 or reimbursel	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value and dycashinen 00 or more in value and dycashinen received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that we will be the property of t	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$125	- - -
iffs, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses icumbents and Termination Filers only epon the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or enterfamment Source (Name and Address)	received a family resistouting \$1 of \$250 or reimbursel	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value and dycashinen 00 or more in value and dycashinen received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that we will be the property of t	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$125	
iffs, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses icumbents and Termination Filers only epon the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or enterfamment Source (Name and Address)	received a family resistouting \$1 of \$250 or reimbursel	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value and dycashinen 00 or more in value and dycashinen received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that we will be the property of t	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$125	
iffts, Reimbursements and Travel Expenses roumbents and Termination Filers only epon the source a brief description and the value of (1) kind gifts of transportation lodging food or entertainment. Source (Neme and Address)	received a family resistouting \$1 of \$250 or reimbursel	s personal hospitality at the donor sidence (2) other gifts received from 00 or more in value and dycashinen 00 or more in value and dycashinen received from one source. Excliments received by your spouse that we will be the property of t	s personal or an source moursements and equits and vere given to	purtation lodging lood Government For than exclude gifts of \$35 or total from one source S further exclusions Brief Description	and re (2) 8x less wh	imbul clude en as	rseme 8 gifts ggifts	trom relativ jarnig them	ne U S ves and ver the	; d	17	\$125	

Appendix III Attorney General Meese's Financial Disclosure Report for 1985

The second secon		Page Schedule
Part I Transactions Incumbents and Termination Filers only Report any pur arms save or exchange by you your spouse or dependent in- hald during the reporting period of any real property stocks or toxnds - commodities thruses, and other set curities when the risk amount -if the Irensaction exceeded \$1,000 include trans	ar town that toouled in a toss. Oc rect legard a harvactural moderning property used solety as your personal escence or a transation between you, your species of your depart that	000 051 000 052 000 052 010 050 010 050 050 050 050 050 050 050 050
	Identification of Age to	(0/11/
Hart or and theory bould		x 7/73/785 x
1 1 2 5		X 7/27/85 11.1 (\$1.00)
		3/57/85
Court Courts		x \$\frac{5}{24}/8\dots \text{ X}
ements and Travel Expenses emination filers only: a brue description and rite value of (1) notation lodents its of orentrament.	received from one source totaling \$250 or more unless cented as personal hospitality of the dours, personal or family residence, (2) other gifts received from one source totaling \$100 or more in value and (3) usts rembursements \$250 or more accered from one source a Ecube agits and tembursements received from one source and present tembursements received by your spouse that were given to	taily independent of their relationship to you. Exclude transportation to depend and of embrureariests from the U.S. Government For (1) and (2) exclude gits from relatives and exclude gits of \$25 or less when aggregating them for the following and exclude gits of \$25 or less when aggregating them for the following excludes gits of \$25 or less when aggregating them for the following the exclusions.
1		
Farm Nut i Auen ur Rock Gulescon IV NV Vee Nut i Auen ur Rock Gollescon, NY NY Vee Nut i Auen of Rock Gollescon, NY NY	Leathe braking for mising praction	15.00 16.00 16.00 16.00

А,

MORE TEACHER TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	It more unless taby independent of their eleanonship to you. Exclude Itans- sor is personal or contained, load and reimbursements from the U.S. or on one source. Government of U.S. and (2.) acclude gifts from reimburse and enthursements and cutoffield gifts of SS or less whose aggregating from the Universements. It was given to Linder exclusions. Blaid Description Blaid Description NONE (X) STO. \$177.	
at tions that resulted in a lose. Der rol repurt a Harvaet tom mouving property used solely as your persumal resultent dent child. NONE	received from one source intaining \$250 or more unless tally independent of the control of \$250 or more incerved by your spouse that were green to introduce the control of \$250 or more incerved by your spouse that were green to introduce the control of \$250 or more incerved by your spouse that were green to introduce the control of \$250 or more incerved by your spouse that were green to introduce the control of the control	80 st.?
Part Transactions	Giffs, Reimbursements and Travel Experises in Incumbants and Temination' Plans only: Regular be Source a bit of description and the sale of (1) of Regular blanks and (1) of Regular blanks and (1) of Regular blanks and Address) Esse List Asso of Rest Catescon NY NY 1981 Associated NY 1981 Associated NY NY 1981 Associated NY NY 1981 Associated NY 1981 Associated NY NY 1981 Associated	PREVIOUS EDITION USABLE

11

		Page 9	ye Schedule
de owed to any one	skriuse of depoident child. Cher k the highest anduari coed. Juring the reponing poince. Exclude a mongage on your pri- sonal insidence a miless. It is rented but loar's secured by	antomobiles transetral fundament application and habitiles coefficial africes. See instructions for NONE	inderi
Custice (Name and Address)	Tipe of Lebita	Date Interest I scorn 1 to 1 t	Category of Amount or Value (K) 1 919 cm 8-0 mm 8-10 mm 8-10 mm 1 1 920 cm 8-10 mm 9-10 mm 1 1 920 cm 8-10 mm 10 mm 1
Im Figure to the transfer of the form of the first transfer of the	Mongage on variety property Rehistory Beech Literage	100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
in, Rechington, by		7	
billit, its thed possibility order of			
and 20 (1) of the control of the condet the provisions of the three from the control of the cont	1		
Tribution of the obligation	i		
Part II All Flace Report your experients of a transparents to or controving participation in tour employment, laives of absence continuation of pay	or continuing participation in an employee benefit plan Se- motions regarding the reporting of negotiations for any of		
1	NONE	Perios	Date (Mo Y.)
Example Purpart to percenting any appearant and the overeign error of the percenting process o		Doe June & Smith Home town USA	Br. 4
		Mameda Lounty, California	
Version pentation rights		Public Employees Retirement System,	750 ur.
Stand process tight		TIAA-CREE (University of San Diego)	
FRE VEXUS EUTHON USABLE	278 108		

÷

Company Com					A 1 100
May a Peaker on tax algorithms.				Page	Schedule
Min in the second second				Number	12 6
ort I saltions Held Outside U.S. Government Filers Report any positions held during the applicable orting period. Positions include but are not limited to	poration firm partnership	p, or other business enterprise of	xclude positions with religious, social fra	re	
ose of an officer director trustee general partner pro- Organization (Name and Address)	ur and unu huant ordanist	Type of Organization	Position Held	NONE	To IMO 1/1
Nat I Asso of Fire to offer lot Nr. Nr.		Non profit adulation	President	6.87	6-16
Pos June A Comit Homelown USA		Law fur	Partner	, , ,	11 H I
honoild Reigins Presidential Foundation		Non-profit choosinon	ituster and sice Chairman	March, 1965	I to cot
			9	1	1
art II ompensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source ominees and New Entrants only Report sources of such			briviles generating a lee or payment of n	nore than \$5,000	
ompensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source ominees and New Entranta only. Report sources of such repensation received by you or your business affiliation for	poration firm partnership	or other business enterprise or Y	ervices generating a lee or payment of no oulneed not report the U.S. Governme s is source.	nore than \$5,000 nt NONE	
empensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source miness and New Entrants only. Report sources of such minessation received by you or your business affiliation for wices directly provided by you during the reporting period. Source (Verns and Address)	poration firm partnership	or other business enterprise of Y when you directly provided the a	ou need not report the U.S. Governme	וח וח	
compensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source minees and New Entrants only Report sources of such minees and New Entrants only Report sources of such minees and New Entrants only Report sources of such minees and New Entrants only Source (Verns and Address) The local Source (Verns and Address)	poration firm partnership any nonprofit organization	or other business enterprise of Y	ou need not report the U.S. Governme s.R. Source Brief Description of Duting	וח וח	
compensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source infriese and New Entrants only. Report sources of such impensation received by you during the reporting period Source (Yerns and Address) Dos Jones & Cruim Homeworn USA	poration firm partnership any nonprofit organization	or other business enterprise or - Y when you directly provided the - a - L egal services	ou need not report the U.S. Governme s.R. Source Brief Description of Duting	וח וח	
compensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source infriese and New Entrants only. Report sources of such impensation received by you during the reporting period Source (Yerns and Address) Dos Jones & Cruim Homeworn USA	poration firm partnership any nonprofit organization	or other business enterprise or - Y when you directly provided the - a - L egal services	ou need not report the U.S. Governme s.R. Source Brief Description of Duting	וח וח	
perspensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source iminess and New Entrants only. Report sources of such impensation received by you during the reporting period cycles directly provided by you during the reporting period. Source (Yems and Address) Doe Jones & Chairle Homeione USA	poration firm partnership any nonprofit organization	or other business enterprise or - Y when you directly provided the - a - L egal services	ou need not report the U.S. Governme s.R. Source Brief Description of Duting	וח וח	
perspensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source iminess and New Entrants only. Report sources of such impensation received by you during the reporting period cycles directly provided by you during the reporting period. Source (Yems and Address) Doe Jones & Chairle Homeione USA	poration firm partnership any nonprofit organization	or other business enterprise or - Y when you directly provided the - a - L egal services	ou need not report the U.S. Governme s.R. Source Brief Description of Duting	וח וח	
perspensation in Excess Of \$5000 aid by One Source on Source of So	poration firm partnership any nonprofit organization	or other business enterprise or - Y when you directly provided the - a - L egal services	ou need not report the U.S. Governme s.R. Source Brief Description of Duting	וח וח	

Requests for copies of GAO reports should be sent to:

U.S. General Accounting Office Post Office Box 6015 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877

Telephone 202-275-6241

The first five copies of each report are free. Additional copies are \$2.00 each.

There is a 25% discount on orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address.

Orders must be prepaid by cash or by check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents.

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

Address Correction Requested

First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100