



Highlights of [GAO-10-531](#), a report to congressional committees

### Why GAO Did This Study

The Department of the Treasury’s (Treasury) authority to purchase, commit to purchase, or commit to guarantee troubled assets was set to expire on December 31, 2009. This important authority has allowed Treasury to undertake a number of programs to help stabilize the financial system. In December 2009, the Secretary of the Treasury extended the authority to October 3, 2010. In our October 2009 report on the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), GAO suggested as part of a framework for decision making that Treasury should coordinate with relevant federal agencies, communicate with Congress and the public, and link the decisions related to the next phase of the TARP program to quantitative analysis. This report discusses (1) the process Treasury used to decide to extend TARP and the extent of coordination with relevant agencies and (2) the analytical framework and quantitative indicators Treasury used to decide to extend TARP. To meet the report objectives, GAO reviewed key documents related to the decision to extend TARP, interviewed agency officials and analyzed financial data.

### What GAO Recommends

GAO recommends that the Secretary of the Treasury (1) formalize coordination with FDIC for future TARP decisions and (2) improve the transparency and analytical basis for TARP program decisions. Treasury generally agreed with our recommendations.

View [GAO-10-531](#) or [key components](#). For more information, contact Thomas J. McCool, 202-512-2642 or [mccoolt@gao.gov](mailto:mccoolt@gao.gov).

## TROUBLED ASSET RELIEF PROGRAM

### Treasury’s Framework for Deciding to Extend TARP Was Sufficient, but Could be Strengthened for Future Decisions

#### What GAO Found

The extension of TARP involved winding down programs while extending others, transforming the program to one focused primarily on preserving homeownership, and improving financial conditions for small banks and businesses. While the extension of TARP was solely the Treasury’s decision, it was taken after significant deliberation and involved interagency coordination. Although sufficient for the decision to extend, the extent of coordination could be enhanced and formalized for any upcoming decisions that would benefit from interagency collaboration, especially with FDIC.

Treasury considered a number qualitative and quantitative factors for key decisions associated with the TARP extension (see table). Important factors considered for the extension of new commitments centered on ongoing weaknesses in key areas of the economy. Treasury underscored that while analysis was possible on the needs or success of individual programs, the fragile state of the economy and remaining downside risks were difficult to know with certainty. Considering this uncertainty, Treasury wanted to extend TARP through October 2010 in order to retain resources to respond to financial instability. Going forward, Treasury could strengthen its current analytical framework by identifying clear objectives for small business programs and providing explicit linkages between TARP program decisions and the quantitative analysis or indicators used to motivate those decisions.

**Status of select TARP Programs and Key Factors Driving Treasury’s Decisions**

| Program type                        | Treasury’s decision   | Key factor driving Treasury’s decision   | Key indicators identified by Treasury   |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Mortgage modification               | Program extended, \$10 billion available for new commitments  | Weakness in housing market and recent implementation of the program  | Foreclosures, delinquencies, trial and permanent mortgage modifications, and housing prices                       |
| Small business lending              | Programs extended, \$32 billion available for new commitments | Contraction in bank lending and multiple indicators pointing to tight conditions for small business credit | Business and commercial real estate loans, Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey, and Small Business Economic Trends |
| Bank capital                        | Programs closed   | Banks’ ability to raise capital on private markets   | Common equity issuance  |
| Asset-backed security (ABS) markets | Program closed  | Recovery in ABS markets  | ABS pricing spreads, program utilization, and ABS issuances   |
| Legacy (“troubled”) assets          | Program closed  | Recovery of mortgage-related securities  | Prices and spreads for certain mortgage-related securities  |

Source: GAO analysis of Treasury documents and interviews.