037610

094618

FOR RELEASE ON DELIVERY EXPECTED AT 9:30 A.M. THURSDAY, UCTOBER 26, 1978

STATEMENT OF

H. L. KRIEGER, DIRECTOR FEDERAL PERSONNEL AND COMPENSATION DIVISION U.S. GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

BEFORE THE



1

4

ł

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS OF THE HOUSE POST OFFICE AND CIVIL SERVICE COMMITTEE

H5E 2908

FEDERAL OVERTIME PRACTICES

ON

MADAM CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE:

WE ARE PLEASED TO BE HERE TODAY TO DISCUSS OUR WORK ON OVERTIME PRACTICES IN FEDERAL AGENCIES. IN FISCAL YEAR 1977, THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH SPENT \$1.5 BILLION IN OVERTIME PAY--AN INCREASE OF \$300 MILLION OVER 1976. AT YOUR REQUEST, WE EXAMINED TIME AND ATTENDANCE PROCEDURES AT FOUR FEDERAL AGENCIES. TWO OF THE AGENCIES--FOREST SERVICE AND CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION--WERE INVOLVED IN PUBLICIZED CASES OF POSSIBLE OVERTIME FRAUD. THEREFORE, OUR WORK AT THESE AGENCIES WAS NECESSARILY LIMITED TO IDENTIFYING PAYROLL SYSTEM DEFICIENCIES WHICH COULD PERMIT OVERTIME ABUSES TO OCCUR. AT THE OTHER TWO AGENCIES--THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANS-PORTATION AND THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT--WE REVIEWED PAYROLL PROCEDURES AND ALSO EXAMINED PAYROLL RECORDS OF SELECTED INDIVIDUALS TO TEST THE EFFECTIVENESS OF OVERTIME CONTROLS.

「いいかいいのかない

DEA AGCUCOID AGCODOJ4 AGCODOJ4 AGCOCO97

AS YOU WELL KNOW, THIS WORK RESULTED IN THE IDENTIFICA-TION OF THREE POSSIBLE CASES OF FRAUD INVOLVING DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION EMPLOYEES WHICH AT YOUR DIRECTION HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. ALSO DURING THIS REVIEW WE LEARNED THAT AT LEAST TWO OTHER AGENCIES--INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AND DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE--ARE INVOLVED IN SEVERAL CASES OF POTENTIAL OVERTIME ABUSE.

BEFORE I GET INTO A MORE DETAILED DISCUSSION OF OUR WORK AND THE FUNCTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WITH REGARD TO FEDERAL AGENCY ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS, I WOULD LIKE TO MENTION SOME OTHER IMPORTANT AND RELATED MATTERS.

MUCH ATTENTION HAS BEEN GIVEN TO THE CURRENT INVESTIGA-TIONS AT THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION WHICH HIGHLIGHT THE VULNERABILITY OF FEDERAL AGENCIES TO WHITE-COLLAR CRIME. IN A RECENT GAO REPORT, "FEDERAL AGENCIES CAN, AND SHOULD, DO MORE TO COMBAT FRAUD IN GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS," WE STATED THAT FEDERAL AGENCIES HAVE NOT BEEN DOING ENOUGH TO IDENTIFY FRAUD IN THEIR PROGRAMS AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS BEEN SLOW IN ASSISTING FEDERAL AGENCIES' ANTIFRAUD EFFORTS. WE RECOMMENDED, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT AGENCIES (1) ELEVATE FRAUD IDENTIFICATION TO A HIGH AGENCY PRIORITY, (2) FIX ORGANIZATION RESPONSIBILITY FOR IDENTIFYING FRAUD, AND (3) PROVIDE AGENCY INVESTIGATORS WITH APPROPRIATE TRAINING IN THE DETECTION OF FRAUD. WE BELIEVE THESE STEPS ARE NECESSARY TO

HELP PRECLUDE NOT ONLY PROGRAM ABUSES, BUT ALSO FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' OVERTIME AND OTHER PAYROLL SYSTEM ABUSES.

IN THIS CONNECTION, GAO HAS ESTABLISHED A SPECIAL TASK FORCE ON THE DETECTION OF FRAUD AND UTHER ABUSES. THE TASK FORCE INTENDS TO CONCENTRATE ON AGENCY CONTROLS OVER CASH AND RECEIVABLES, INVENTORIES AND SUPPLIES, AND ANYTHING ELSE OF VALUE THAT MIGHT BE STOLEN OR MISAPPROPRIATED IF CONTROLS ARE WEAK. THE TASK FORCE WILL IDENTIFY WEAKNESSES IN COMPUTER CONTROLS, ANALYZE INDICATIONS OF FRAUD UNCOVERED BY AGENCY INTERNAL AUDIT STAFFS AND, WHERE THESE REPORTS OR OUR OWN REVIEWS SHOW THAT CONTROLS ARE WEAK, THE TASK FORCE WILL SEARCH FOR POTENTIALLY FRAUDULENT SITUATIONS. AT THE CONCLU-SION OF ITS WORK, THE TASK FORCE WILL PREPARE REPORTS TO THE CONGRESS AND THE AGENCIES INVOLVED WITH PARTICULAR EMPHASIS ON WEAKNESSES IN MANAGEMENT CONTROLS THAT WOULD PERMIT FRAUD, THEFT OR ERROR TO OCCUR. THE TASK FORCE WILL ALSO EXPLORE THE POSSIBILITY OF DEVELOPING AN AUDIT PROGRAM FOR USE BY FEDERAL AGENCIES THAT PROVIDES A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH FOR IDENTIFYING AND PREVENTING FRAUD AND ABUSE IN GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS -

OVERTIME SHOULD GENERALLY BE USED TO MEET TEMPORARY NEEDS DURING EMERGENCIES OR FOR CERTAIN SPECIAL PROJECTS WHICH CAN-NOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN NORMAL WORKING HOURS. WHEN AN AGENCY USES A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF OVERTIME IT RAISES CERTAIN QUESTIONS. WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DID MANAGEMENT MAKE IN

DETERMINING OVERTIME WAS NEEDED? FOR EXAMPLE, WAS THERE REALLY A NEED FOR THE OVERTIME WORK? IF IT WAS JUSTIFIED DID MANAGEMENT CONSIDER OTHER ALTERNATIVES SUCH AS HIRING PART-TIME OR TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES?

WHEN A PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL OR GROUP IS WORKING AN INORDINATE AMOUNT OF OVERTIME, IT MAY INDICATE THAT EITHER THE STAFF IS NOT LARGE ENOUGH OR THAT THE STAFF IS NOT PERFORMING EFFICIENTLY. WHILE WE RECOGNIZE THAT CERTAIN SITUATIONS WILL ARISE FROM TIME TO TIME WHICH REQUIRE OVER-TIME, IF SUCH SITUATIONS OCCUR CONSISTENTLY, IT IS MANAGE-MENT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO CORRECT THEM.

At the two agencies where we examined employees' overtime records, we noted several instances where individuals received substantial overtime almost every pay period. For example, two of the largest users of overtime at the Department of Transportation had worked overtime almost every weekday and most Saturdays for the previous year and a half. Une of them averaged about 36 hours of overtime every pay period. This overtime was consistently authorized for performance of typing and filing. While we were focusing only on the propriety of overtime payments, we believe there may also be a question on the need for and appropriateness of such extensive overtime.

GAO HAS, OVER THE YEARS, BEEN CRITICAL OF THE WAY SOME Federal agencies determine their workforce requirements and

HAS RECOMMENDED THAT MORE SYSTEMATIC AND OBJECTIVE METHODS AND PROCEDURES BE USED, INCLUDING USE OF WORK MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES. WE BELIEVE THAT AS AGENCIES MOVE IN THIS DIREC-TION, THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO BETTER MATCH THEIR RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS TO THE WORK TO BE DONE, THEREBY MINIMIZING OVERTIME NEEDS. FURTHER, WE BELIEVE THAT MORE EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF THE AGENCIES' WORK FLOW CAN REDUCE OVERTIME. SUCH CHANGES ARE OF LITTLE VALUE, HOWEVER, WITHOUT THE COMMITMENT OF MANAGEMENT AT ALL LEVELS.

AGENCIES SOMETIMES MUST PAY EMPLOYEES OVERTIME TO ACCOMPLISH PROGRAMS AND FUNCTIONS REQUIRED BY THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS BECAUSE PERSONNEL CEILINGS ESTABLISHED BY THE UFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OR THE CONGRESS RESTRICT THEM FROM INCREASING THE SIZE OF THEIR STAFFS. WHEN WORKLOADS FLUC-TUATE SIGNIFICANTLY, USING PART-TIME EMPLOYEES MAY BE THE BEST AND MOST ECONOMICAL WAY OF ACCOMPLISHING NECESSARY WORK. IN THE PAST, HOWEVER, CONSTRAINTS IMPOSED BY RIGID PERSONNEL CEILINGS HAVE ALSO DEPRIVED MANAGEMENT OF THIS FLEXIBILITY. IN A JANUARY 1976 REPORT "PART-TIME EMPLOYMENT IN FEDERAL AGENCIES" WE RECOMMENDED THE REMOVAL OR RELAXATION OF PERSONNEL CEILINGS FOR PART-TIME EMPLOYEES, ON A TEST BASIS, TO ALLOW AGENCIES MORE FLEXIBILITY IN USING THESE EMPLOYEES. THE PART-TIME CAREER EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1978 PROVIDES THAT A PERSON WHO WORKS PART-TIME WILL BE COUNTED FOR CEILING PURPOSES BASED ON THE NUMBER

OF HOURS WORKED RATHER THAN AS ONE EMPLOYEE AND SHOULD GIVE MANAGEMENT FLEXIBILITY TO USE MORE PART-TIME EMPLOYEES.

WHILE TODAY WE ARE CONCERNED WITH OVERTIME ABUSES, WE THINK IT ONLY FAIR TO POINT OUT THAT IN A RECENT GAO SURVEY MANY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES INFORMED US THAT THEY OFTEN WORK OVER-TIME WITHOUT RECEIVING PAY OR COMPENSATORY TIME OFF. ABOUT 29 PERCENT OF 2,351 EMPLOYEES RESPONDING TO OUR QUESTIONNAIRE REPORTED THAT THEY WORK AT LEAST 1 EXTRA HOUR EACH WEEK IN UNCOMPENSATED OVERTIME.

WE IDENTIFIED SOME SYSTEM WEAKNESSES AT EACH AGENCY WE VISITED. WHILE OUR REVIEW WAS SOMEWHAT LIMITED BY THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT'S INVESTIGATIONS AND THE LIMITED AMOUNT OF TIME AVAILABLE TO US, WE BELIEVE THAT THE SYSTEM WEAKNESSES IDEN-TIFIED IN OUR WORK SUGGEST THAT SUCH PROBLEMS COULD BE PREVA-LENT IN OTHER AGENCIES.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

AT THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION, WE FOUND THAT OVERTIME AUTHORIZATIONS WERE NOT ALWAYS PROPERLY APPROVED AND CONTAINED ALTERATIONS WITH NO INDICATION THAT THE CHANGES HAD BEEN APPROVED.

FOR EXAMPLE, WE NOTED ONE INSTANCE IN WHICH AN EMPLOYEE APPROVED HIS OWN OVERTIME REQUEST, AND SOME OVERTIME PAYMENTS HAD BEEN MADE EVEN THOUGH THE AUTHORIZATION FORMS HAD NOT BEEN SIGNED.

SIMILARLY, THE NUMBER OF OVERTIME HOURS APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN CHANGED ON SOME TIME AND ATTENDANCE CARDS, AND OVERTIME CLAIMS IN SOME INSTANCES WERE NOT SUPPORTED BY ATTENDANCE LOGS. WE REFERRED THREE SUCH CASES TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR INVESTIGATION.

WE ALSO NOTED CERTAIN OTHER WEAKNESSES WITH THE PRESCRIBED TIME AND ATTENDANCE PROCEDURES. FOR EXAMPLE, SUPERVISORS WERE NOT PROVIDED FEEDBACK ON THE NUMBER OF OVER-TIME HOURS PAID TO EACH EMPLOYEE. THUS, FALSE OVERTIME CLAIMS WOULD NOT COME TO THE ATTENTION OF THE SUPERVISORS. IN ADDI-TION, THE PROCEDURES PERMITTED ALTERED TIME AND ATTENDANCE CARDS TO BE PROCESSED WITHOUT QUESTION.

WE ALSO FOUND THAT TIME AND ATTENDANCE AND PAYROLL PROCESSES DID NOT HAVE CONTROLS TO ASSURE THAT DOCUMENTS AND DATA AFFECTING EMPLOYEES' PAY WERE NOT LOST OR ADDED DURING PROCESSING.

Drug Enforcement Administration

AT THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, WE IDENTIFIED PROCEDURAL WEAKNESSES WHICH COULD PERMIT ERRONEOUS OR FRAUDU-LENT CLAIMS FOR OVERTIME PAYMENT. FOR EXAMPLE, OVERTIME IS NOT ALWAYS AUTHORIZED IN WRITING AND THE AUTHORIZATIONS DO NOT INCLUDE CERTIFICATIONS THAT THE WORK IS ACTUALLY PERFORMED. OVERTIME AUTHORIZATIONS ARE NOT PROPERLY OR CONSISTENTLY COMPLETED, AND THE PAYROLL SECTION DOES NOT CHECK THEM BEFORE PAYMENT. PAYMENTS ARE ROUTINELY MADE FOR REGULAR AND OVERTIME

7

なたべき

HOURS SHOWN ON THE CERTIFIED TIME AND ATTENDANCE CARD. IN ADDITION, SUPERVISORS ARE NOT PROVIDED FEEDBACK ON OVERTIME HOURS PAID TO EMPLOYEES.

The agency monitors overtime expenditures to see that each organization stays within its budget. According to an agency official, no organizational element or region has been found to exceed its allocation. However, missing or inaccurate authorizations would not usually come to the agency's attention.

ALSO, DATA COULD BE ADDED OR LOST AND NOT BE DETECTED BECAUSE BATCH CONTROLS DO NOT INCLUDE CONTROL TOTALS.

U.S. FOREST SERVICE

At the Forest Service false overtime hours were apparently added to time cards of several employees. In a number of instances, a personnel office official added false overtime hours to the time cards for himself and others.

Based on our discussions with Forest Service officials, weaknesses existed in their time and attendance procedures which could permit such falsification. For example, supervisors did not consistently receive and review printouts of actual overtime hours paid their employees, and supervisors were not always in a position to determine the accuracy of such payments.

U.S. CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

At the Civil Rights Commission certain system weaknesses permitted some employees to be paid for overtime not worked. In one instance an employee, who learned that overtime authorization forms were no longer provided to the payroll contractor, obtained access to the approved time and attendance records before they were sent to payroll and added overtime hours. Neither batch controls which would indicate additions or deletions to the records nor routine feedback to supervisors showing hours paid for each employee were in effect. We were told that other similar cases have been identified and are being investigated.

UVERTIME FRAUD IDENTIFIED IN OTHER AGENCIES

山というのある

DURING OUR REVIEW WE MET WITH OFFICIALS OF THE INTERNAL Revenue Service and the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to discuss time and attendance procedures and overtime abuse cases.

AT THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WE WERE TOLD THAT THEY EXPECT TO PROSECUTE 13 OF 17 SUSPECTED OVERTIME ABUSE CASES. AT THAT TIME, FIVE ARRESTS HAD BEEN MADE.

HEW OFFICIALS TOLD US THAT FIVE APPARENT OVERTIME FRAUD CASES HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED. THE CASES ARE NOW BEING INVESTI-GATED INTERNALLY, AND THEY EXPECT THESE CASES WILL BE REFERRED TO THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT. ACCORDING TO HEW OFFICIALS, THESE CASES INVOLVE ABOUT \$75,000.

MOST OF THE CASES AT THE TWO AGENCIES INVOLVED EITHER OVERSTATEMENTS OF OVERTIME OR CHANGES IN TIME AND ATTENDANCE CARDS AFTER CERTIFICATION.

REVIEWS OF INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEMS

の時代の

The General Accounting Uffice is charged with helping Federal agencies develop accounting systems that meet the accounting principles and standards prescribed by the Comptroller General. GAO is also responsible for approving systems and reviewing approved, as well as unapproved, systems in operation. These approval and review efforts stress the importance of controls to prevent fraudulent or otherwise improper payments. During fiscal year 1977, the group responsible for reviewing systems in operation issued 45 reports on Federal agencies' accounting systems and financial management activities. A number of those reports dealt specifically with the need for better control over Federal agencies' payroll systems.

ONE OF THE LONGSTANDING ELEMENTS OF CONTROL OVER PAYMENTS HAS BEEN THE FUNCTIONS PERFORMED BY AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICERS IN THE CIVIL AGENCIES AND DISBURSING OFFICERS IN THE MILITARY SERVICES. THESE OFFICERS ARE REQUIRED BY LAW TO ASSURE THAT ALL PAYMENTS ARE LEGAL, PROPER, AND CORRECT, BEFORE MAKING THEM. THE ADVENT OF THE COMPUTER, PARTICULARLY IN PAYROLL SYSTEMS, MADE IT IMPRACTICAL FOR CERTIFYING AND

10

DISBURSING OFFICERS TO MAKE DETERMINATIONS ON EACH DISBURSE-MENT. UUR REPORT TO THE CONGRESS ENTITLED "New METHODS NEEDED FOR CHECKING PAYMENTS MADE BY COMPUTERS" POINTED OUT THIS PROBLEM AND DISCUSSED WAYS TO REGAIN CONTROL OVER DISBURSEMENTS. AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE TRADITIONAL CERTIFYING OFFICER'S FUNCTION, THE REPORT RECOMMENDED THAT THE CERTIFI-CATIONS BE BASED ON THE AGENCIES' REVIEWS OF COMPUTERIZED PAYMENT SYSTEMS ESTABLISHING THAT THE SYSTEMS' INTERNAL CONTROLS WERE IN PLACE AND OPERATING EFFECTIVELY.

PAYROLL SYSTEMS MUST RELY PRIMARILY ON INTERNAL CONTROLS FOR ASSURANCE THAT THE DISBURSEMENTS--THE PAYCHECKS--ARE LEGAL, PROPER AND CORRECT. AN EFFECTIVE PAYROLL SYSTEM REQUIRES THAT THE DUTIES OF PAY CLERKS AND OTHER EMPLOYEES ARE SEPARATED; CHECKS AND EDITS ARE PRESENT IN COMPUTER PROGRAMS INCLUDING THOSE TO ESTABLISH ACCURACY AND PROPRIETY OF DATA ENTERING THE SYSTEM; AND EFFECTIVE PHYSICAL CONTROLS ARE ESTABLISHED OVER EQUIPMENT, FILES, AND NEGOTIABLE CHECKS.

WE HAVE ISSUED A NUMBER OF REPORTS IN RECENT YEARS DEALING WITH DEFICIENCIES IN SUCH CONTROLS.

> --FOUR REPORTS DISCUSSED SERIOUS WEAKNESSES IN THE INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE'S CENTRALIZED, AUTOMATED PAY-ROLL SYSTEM. THE REPORTS MENTION MANY CONTROL DEFI-CIENCIES THAT WOULD ALLOW FRAUDULENT OR OTHERWISE IMPROPER PAYMENTS TO BE MADE. FOR EXAMPLE, ONE REPORT

POINTED OUT THAT LARGE NUMBERS OF TIME AND ATTENDANCE REPORTS WERE NOT PROPERLY APPROVED OR WERE SOMETIMES CHANGED BY CLERKS WITHOUT PROPER APPROVAL. THE REPORTS CONTAINED OVER 50 RECOMMENDATIONS DESIGNED TO EITHER ESTABLISH CONTROLS OR IMPROVE THE CONTROLS OVER THE DEPARTMENT'S SYSTEM WHICH PAYS OVER \$2.2 BILLION TO ABOUT 150,000 EMPLOYEES ANNUALLY. WE BELIEVE THE CONTINUED EXISTENCE OF THE WEAKNESSES IDENTIFIED IN THESE REPORTS WERE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS TO THE APPARENT HEW FRAUD CASES I DISCUSSED EARLIER.

--A REPORT ON THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVEL-OPMENT'S PAYROLL SYSTEM POINTED OUT THAT DEFICIENT EDITS AND CHECKS IN ITS COMPUTER PROGRAMS ALLOWED THE DEPARTMENT'S EMPLOYEES TO RECEIVE EXCESSIVE AND EVEN DUPLICATE PAYCHECKS AND TO ACCUMULATE LEAVE AT ERRONEOUS RATES. THE REPORT CITED SPECIFIC INSTANCES IN WHICH THESE CONDITIONS OCCURRED, AND CONTAINED 13 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STRENGTHENING CONTROLS OVER AUTO-MATED PAYROLL PROCESSING. OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDE FOR STRENGTHENING EXISTING CONTROLS OVER PAY ENTITLEMENT DATA, SUCH AS TIME AND ATTENDANCE REPORTS, ENTERED INTO THE SYSTEM. WEAKNESSES, IDENTIFIED IN THIS PAYROLL SYSTEM WERE THE TYPE THAT GIVE US THE MOST CONCERN. BECAUSE OF THESE WEAKNESSES WE WERE ABLE TO DEMONSTRATE THE EASE WITH WHICH FICTITIOUS EMPLOYEES

COULD BE ADDED TO THE PAYROLL AND PAID EXORBITANT AND ERRONEOUS AMOUNTS. WE BROUGHT THE CONTROL PROBLEMS TO THE ATTENTION OF DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS WHO ASSURED US THAT CORRECTIVE ACTION HAD BEEN TAKEN.

-- A REPORT ON THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE'S PAYROLL SYSTEM EMPHASIZED CONTROL DEFICIENCIES IN AN AUTO-MATED PAYROLL OPERATION. IT POINTED OUT THAT EDIT CHECKS IN THE DEPARTMENT'S COMPUTER PROGRAM WERE INADEQUATE TO PREVENT UNAUTHORIZED AND IMPROPER PAY-MENTS TO CURRENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEES. THE REPORT CITED EXAMPLES OF EMPLOYEES BEING ISSUED INCORRECT AND EVEN DUPLICATE PAYMENTS, AND FORMER EMPLOYEES BEING ISSUED PAYCHECKS. THE REPORT INCLUDED SIX RECOMMENDATIONS TO DEVELOP OR IMPROVE THE BASIC CONTROLS NEEDED IN ANY AUTOMATED PAYROLL OPERATION. --A REPORT ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE'S CIVILIAN PAY-ROLL SYSTEMS DISCUSSED INTERNAL CONTROL WEAKNESSES AT 66 DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS. THE REPORT CONTAINED SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WEAKNESSES THAT OFTEN LEFT THE GOVERNMENT UNPROTECTED AGAINST IMPROPER OR FRAUDULENT PAYMENTS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE MILITARY DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON'S PAYROLL SYSTEM HAD EMPLOYEES' DUTIES INADEQUATELY SEPARATED TO PREVENT COLLUSION; LACKED ESSENTIAL EDITS TO DETECT MISSING OR INVALID DATA PROCESSED BY COMPUTERS; AND DID NOT PROVIDE FOR

REVIEWS TO ESTABLISH PROPRIETY OF OVERTIME PAYMENTS. WE MADE RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT PROBLEMS AT EACH INSTALLATION WE VISITED. HOWEVER, BECAUSE THE PROBLEMS WERE SO SIGNIFICANT AND WIDESPREAD IN SYSTEMS PAYING OVER \$15 BILLION ANNUALLY, WE RECOMMENDED THAT THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE ENSURE THAT ITS PAYROLL SYSTEMS CONTAINED ADEQUATE CONTROLS FOR MINIMIZING THE POTEN-TIAL FOR FRAUDULENT PAYMENTS.

--ANOTHER REPORT ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DISCUSSED PROBLEMS WITH THE ARMY'S STANDARD PAYROLL SYSTEM FOR CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WHICH WAS BEING DEVELOPED FOR POTEN-TIAL DEFENSE-WIDE USE. THE REPORT SAID THE SYSTEM CONTINUED TO HAVE MANY OF THE SAME BASIC CONTROL DEFI-CIENCIES WE REPORTED ON THE 66 DIFFERENT SYSTEMS. WE AGAIN RECOMMENDED THAT APPROPRIATE INTERNAL CONTROLS BE INCORPORATED INTO THE ARMY'S STANDARD CIVILIAN PAY-ROLL SYSTEM.

ALL AGENCIES ON WHICH WE HAVE REPORTED AGREED TO DEVELOP EFFECTIVE SYSTEMS INCLUDING INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER THEIR PAY-ROLL OPERATIONS. SUCH SYSTEMS CAN REDUCE THE PROBABILITY THAT EMBEZZLEMENT, DEFALCATION, FRAUD OR ERRORS CAN OCCUR. THE MOST RELIABLE SYSTEM IMAGINABLE, HOWEVER, CAN BE COMPROMISED BY COLLUSION AND UNUSUAL EVENTS, OR CAN DETERIORATE OVER A PERIOD OF TIME AND LOSE THE CAPABILITY TO DETECT AND CORRECT ERRORS. THIS POTENTIAL, THEREFORE, REQUIRES CONSTANT VIGILANCE

OVER THE OPERATIONS OF THE SYSTEM BY MANAGERS AND BY EACH AGENCIES' INTERNAL AUDIT STAFF.

* * * * *

IN SUMMARY, WE BELIEVE THERE IS A NEED FOR MANAGEMENT TO BETTER MONITOR THE USE OF OVERTIME, NOT ONLY FOR DETER-MINING ABUSE BUT FOR ENSURING THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S WORK IS PERFORMED IN THE MOST EFFICIENT AND ECONOMICAL MANNER. WE ALSO BELIEVE THERE IS A NEED FOR AGENCIES' INTERNAL AUDIT GROUPS TO CONSTANTLY MONITOR THEIR PAYROLL SYSTEMS, AS WELL AS OTHER ACTIVITIES, TO ENSURE THAT INTERNAL CONTROLS ARE IN PLACE AND PROPERLY IMPLEMENTED.

For these reasons we think the Subcommittee's hearings are extremely important at this time and should serve to encourage agencies to give greater attention to their overtime practices.

THIS CONCLUDES MY STATEMENT, MADAM CHAIR. MY COLLEAGUES AND I WILL BE PLEASED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

15

田田のあるある





m. She

1978 NOV 1

The Honorable Gladys N. Spellman Chairwoman, Subcommittee on Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee on Post Office and Civil Service House of Representatives

Dear Madam Chair:

Testimony presented by Mr. Krieger and Mr. Cronin before your Subcommittee on Thursday, October 26, has received considerable press coverage. Certain of these press accounts have been critical of the present Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, apparently with the misunderstanding that the audit made by the General Accounting Office took place during the present administration and, hence, have been critical of Secretary Harris. We regret that this matter was not reported more accurately. It would be unfortunate if the highlighting of this one point obscured the importance of the issue that your hearings are bringing out. Our statement and your questions emphasized the importance of management's involvement in all aspects of the personnel system and how good accounting systems and the feedback that they provide would serve this aim.

In followup inquiries to this Office by representatives of the press, this Office has made it clear that the report was issued in June 1975 and covered a period prior to that time, obviously before Secretary Harris assumed responsibility as Secretary of Housing and Urban Development. In re-reading the statement presented by Mr. Krieger and by reviewing the transcript of the hearing the emphasis on the broader issues is clear, however, the date of the report did not come up. This undoubtedly accounted for some of the impressions which were carried over into the news coverage. There was no intention on the part of Mr. Krieger or Mr. Cronin to obscure this point in any way. The example cited with respect to HUD was only one of many examples covered in the testimony and it may well be that the fictitious name of "Donald Duck" had a great deal to do with the fact that the press gave it such a wide coverage.

While the testimony states that corrective action is in process, it should be noted here that these actions have been significantly delayed. The previous administration assured us that corrective measures would be put into effect by early 1976. This was not accomplished. Since Secretary . Harris assumed her present position, we have had excellent cooperation from her and members of her staff. While the delay in taking corrective action has taken longer than we would have hoped, we now are assured that a new system will be in effect by January 1979. We will continue to work with the Department to that end that these actions can be taken on that schedule.

Inasmuch as the press continues to carry stories on this matter, I would personally appreciate it very much if you would allow Mr. Cronin, who will be attending the hearing on Friday morning, to read this letter for the record.

I am pleased that you have undertaken these hearings which can do much to encourage agencies to place higher priority on the need for adequate financial and auditing controls. Without such controls, the door is open for fraud, abuse and errors which do much to weaken public confidence in Government.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) ELMER B. STAATS

Comptroller General of the United States

cc: The Honorable Patricia Harris
Mr. Krieger
Mr. Scantlebury
Mr. Kensky
Mr. Cronin
Index and Files
OCR