

GAO

Testimony

Before the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations,  
Committee on Veterans' Affairs, House of Representatives

For Release  
on Delivery  
Expected at  
8:30 a.m., EDT  
Wednesday, June 29, 1994

VETERANS' HEALTH  
CARE

Efforts to Make VA  
Competitive May Create  
Significant Risks

Statement of David P. Baine, Director  
Federal Health Care Delivery Issues  
Health, Education, and Human Services Division



---

### Ordering Information

The first copy of each GAO report and testimony is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.

**Orders by mail:**

**U.S. General Accounting Office  
P.O. Box 6015  
Gaithersburg, MD 20884-6015**

**or visit:**

**Room 1100  
700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW)  
U.S. General Accounting Office  
Washington, DC**

**Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 512-6000  
or by using fax number (301) 258-4066.**

**Each day, GAO issues a list of newly available reports and testimony. To receive facsimile copies of the daily list, or any listing from the past 30 days, please call (301) 258-4097 using a touchtone phone. A recorded menu will provide information on how to obtain these listings.**

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Subcommittee:

We are pleased to be here today as the Subcommittee continues to examine the veterans' health care provisions of the administration's proposed Health Security Act. We are conducting a series of studies--several of which were requested by Chairman Evans--on the potential effects of health reforms on the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) health care system and options for restructuring veterans' health benefits. My comments this morning will focus on the preliminary results of work on one of those requests. Specifically, we will discuss

- legal and structural barriers that could limit VA's ability to restructure its health care facilities into managed care plans and compete with private-sector health plans,
- the extent to which the Health Security Act would overcome those barriers, and
- the potential risks associated with efforts to make VA competitive with private-sector managed care plans.

Our work is based on meetings with VA central office and medical center officials and representatives from health maintenance organizations (HMO) and managed care associations, our reports over the last 10 to 15 years looking at managed care programs under Medicare and Medicaid, and our reports and ongoing work relating to veterans' health issues. We are currently drafting a report that will expand on the information we are presenting this morning.

#### RESULTS IN BRIEF

VA faces many challenges as it attempts to restructure its health care system to compete in a managed care environment. We identified more than 25 barriers that could hinder VA's efforts to establish competitive health plans. These barriers relate generally to (1) eligibility for VA health care services, (2) management flexibility to react to local conditions, (3) uncertainties about funding of VA health care services, (4) restrictions on marketing, and (5) VA's health care infrastructure. VA officials believe that for barriers other than those relating to VA's infrastructure, legislative action to exempt VA from a series of existing laws and regulations is essential if VA is to compete with private-sector health plans.

Most legal barriers that might limit VA's ability to compete with private-sector managed care plans would be addressed through the Health Security Act. For example, VA would be exempt from most federal contracting and personnel laws and regulations. In addition, VA eligibility would be reformed and new sources of funding established.

RELATED GAO PRODUCTS

VA Health Care: VA and the Health Security Act (GAO/HEHS-94-159R, May 9, 1994).

VA Health Care Reform: Financial Implications of the Proposed Health Security Act (GAO/T-HEHS-94-148).

VA Health Care: Most Care Provided Through Non-VA Programs (GAO/HEHS-94-104BR, Apr. 25, 1994).

VA Health Care: Veterans' Perceptions of VA Services and Its Role in Health Care Reform (GAO/T-HEHS-94-150, Apr. 20, 1994).

VA Health Care: A Profile of Veterans Using VA Medical Centers in 1991 (GAO/HEHS-94-113FS, Mar. 29, 1994).

VA Health Care: Comparison of VA Benefits With Other Public and Private Programs (GAO/HRD-93-94, July 29, 1993).

VA Health Care: Potential for Offsetting Long-Term Care Costs Through Estate Recovery (GAO/HRD/93-68, July 27, 1993).

Veterans Affairs: Accessibility of Outpatient Care at VA Medical Centers (GAO/T-HRD-93-29, July 21, 1993).

VA Health Care: Variabilities in Outpatient Care Eligibility and Rationing Decisions (GAO/HRD-93-106, July 14, 1993).

VA Health Care: Veterans' Efforts to Obtain Outpatient Care From Alternative Sources (GAO/HRD-93-123, June 30, 1993).

Veterans' Health Care: Potential Effects of Health Care Reforms on VA's Major Construction Program (GAO/T-HRD-93-19, May 6, 1993).

Veterans' Health Care: Potential Effects of Health Financing Reforms on Demand for VA Services (GAO/T-HRD-93-12, Mar. 31, 1993).

Veterans' Health Care: Potential Effects of Health Reforms on VA Construction (GAO/T-HRD-93-7, Mar. 3, 1993).

VA Health Care: Actions Needed to Control Major Construction Costs (GAO/HRD-93-75, Feb. 26, 1993).

Veterans' Affairs Issues (GAO/OCG-93-21TR, Dec. 1992).

VA Health Care: Offsetting Long-Term Care Costs by Adopting State Copayment Practices (GAO/HRD-92-96, Aug. 12, 1992).

VA Health Care: Demonstration Project Concerning Future Structure of Veterans' Health Reform (GAO/T-HRD-92-53, Aug. 11, 1992).

-- Further decentralization of VA management could heighten risks created through exemptions from federal laws and regulations.

Obviously, the risks would be decreased to the extent that VA reinstitutes the internal controls that would be eliminated through the exemptions.

#### BACKGROUND

When the VA health care system was established in 1930, public and private health insurance were virtually nonexistent. VA developed its system as a direct delivery system with the government owning and operating its own health care facilities. It became the nation's largest direct delivery system with 171 hospitals and more than 200 outpatient clinics.

With the subsequent growth of public and private health insurance programs, most veterans now have one or more alternatives to VA health care. In 1990, 9 out of 10 veterans had other health care coverage in addition to access to services provided by VA. For example, about 27 percent of veterans were eligible for Medicare.

Still, about 2.2 million veterans made more than 20 million outpatient visits to VA health care facilities and had more than 970,000 hospital stays in 1991. Of these veterans, about 1 million had disabilities incurred in or aggravated by military service (service-connected), and 1.2 million had no disabling conditions relating to military service (nonservice-connected).

Veterans who use VA health care services tend to have lower incomes and less private insurance coverage than veterans using other providers. In other words, in addition to providing treatment for service-connected disabilities, VA serves as a safety net for veterans lacking the resources to pay for private-sector health care.

Reforms of the nation's health financing system, such as those under consideration, would reduce the number of uninsured veterans. As a result, many veterans who currently rely on VA because they do not have health insurance or cannot afford the copayments and deductibles that would be required by private-sector hospitals and physicians, might seek care closer to their homes.

Of the many health reform proposals being considered, only one--the administration's proposed Health Security Act--would change the VA health care delivery system or VA eligibility to try to limit VA's potential loss of workload.

Without a system that gives VA prompt access to income data, VA may incorrectly place veterans or nonveterans in the core group and provide free enrollment in the VA health plan. The income verification system is still being tested, and VA does not plan to conduct another match against tax records until November 1994.

FURTHER DECENTRALIZATION  
COULD INCREASE RISKS

The final risk I would like to discuss is VA's plan to further decentralize management.

Failure to monitor policy implementation under VA's decentralized management structure has been a recurring theme in our reports on VA health programs for many years. In both our 1988 and 1992 Transition Series reports on VA, we identified policy implementation as one of the problems most needing management attention.

Many of the problems addressed by this Subcommittee have focused on the failure of VA's central office and regional offices to identify and correct problems. For example, the Subcommittee's March hearing on women veterans showed that many of the problems in meeting women veterans' health care needs that GAO originally identified in 1982 still existed 12 years later. Although VA central office directed medical centers to improve the thoroughness of women veterans' physical examinations, our 1994 follow-up showed that the central office had not reviewed and followed up on medical centers' action plans for improving compliance with examination requirements.

The combination of exemptions from federal laws and regulations to be authorized by the Health Security Act and VA medical centers' history of problems in complying with current laws, regulations, and procedures creates significant risks both to the federal government through increased costs and losses to fraud and abuse and to veterans and their dependents through poor monitoring of their health care. VA's plans to give medical centers and health plans greater autonomy would, at least until those medical centers and health plans demonstrate the ability to run a managed care plan, further increase the risks.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, Mr. Chairman, the proposed Health Security Act would alleviate most of the legal barriers to VA's developing competitive managed care plans. It would do so, however, by eliminating a series of internal controls developed over many years to protect both the interests of the government and its citizens. VA health plans would be exempt from important fiscal and quality safeguards, greatly expanding VA's financial liabilities,

- VA's inadequate cost and utilization data do not support its move to a managed care system.
- Many VA facilities are outdated and lack the patient amenities of private-sector hospitals.
- VA lacks a facilities network that would allow veterans to obtain health care services close to their homes.
- Many veterans negatively view the quality of care and customer service at VA facilities.
- Centralized management limits the ability of VA health plans to react to local conditions.
- A shortage of primary care physicians needed to quickly adopt managed care. About 20 percent of VA physicians are primary care physicians or generalists; about 60 percent of a managed care plan's physicians are typically primary care physicians.
- VA lacks expertise in establishing and operating managed care plans.
- Veterans used to "showing up" for treatment at VA hospitals and clinics without appointments will have to be educated on accessing care through a managed care system.
- Private-sector health plans may be unwilling to contract with VA unless it grants their physicians admitting rights and meets other requirements established by the health plans.

THE HEALTH SECURITY ACT WOULD ADDRESS MOST LEGAL BARRIERS

The Health Security Act would address most of the legal barriers mentioned, largely through expansions in VA entitlement and exemptions from federal and state requirements. For example, the act would authorize

- VA to provide the same set of comprehensive health care benefits to veterans enrolling in VA health plans as provided by competing managed care plans;
- VA to enroll veterans' dependents in VA health plans and to have broad authority to contract for health care services, enabling it to provide care to dependents either in VA facilities or through contract care;

for all participating health plans; the enrollee would pay the difference between the premium and the employer contribution. Under the Health Security Act, the regional alliances could reject non-VA plans but they would be required to accept whatever premiums VA health plans propose. In fact, regional alliances would not be allowed to reject VA health plans for any reason.

VA premiums may be higher than premiums of competing health plans if their costs are higher or their enrollees are more disabled or older.<sup>8</sup> Because veterans are older than the general population, their health care utilization and the costs of providing services can be expected to be higher than those of the overall population.

Just as cost and utilization data are critical in setting VA health plan premiums, they would be critical in setting capitation payments to risk contractors. Rates set too high could affect the financial solvency of VA health plans; rates set too low could affect the solvency of the risk contractors and lead to underservicing of health plan enrollees.

Accurate cost data are also important in determining when to purchase health care services from non-VA providers. We noted in our December 1992 Transition Series report on the VA that it has not provided clear guidance on how cost comparisons should be made to determine if care could be purchased more economically than VA could provide it.<sup>9</sup> Our ongoing work shows, however, that VA medical centers still do not know how to make such cost comparisons.

Finally, VA needs accurate cost data to determine appropriate prices to charge for items and services sold to other health plans. If prices are set too low, funds from other sources would be needed to subsidize losses, and less money will be available to provide services to veterans.

---

<sup>8</sup>The effects of inaccurate premiums would depend on the risk adjustments made by regional alliances. For example, if VA premiums are set too low but VA receives a favorable risk adjustment for enrolling an older and more disabled population, then the effects of the low premiums would, at least to some extent, be offset. If, on the other hand, the risk adjustment is not favorable to VA, then premiums set too low would heighten VA's problems in trying to provide care with available resources.

<sup>9</sup>Veterans' Affairs Issues (GAO/OCG-93-21TR, Dec. 1992).



renovation of existing facilities, and other start-up costs for the health plans.<sup>2</sup>

Although these changes would help VA market competitive managed care plans, they would also create significant risks--risks to the government in higher costs and increased exposure to fraud and abuse and risks to veterans in decreased access to and quality of care. I would now like to discuss some of those risks.

#### EXPANDED ENTITLEMENT COULD ADD BILLIONS TO VA APPROPRIATIONS

Under the provisions of the Health Security Act, about 9 million veterans would be entitled to free comprehensive health care benefits if they enroll in VA health plans (core group veterans). This entitlement could require VA appropriations of about \$16 billion just to cover the premiums of core group enrollees. Although the entitlement expansion would increase overall government health care spending, most of the increase in VA appropriations would result from cost shifting from Medicare and other programs.

#### About 9 Million Veterans Would Be Entitled to Free Comprehensive Care

The Health Security Act would greatly expand the number of veterans entitled to free comprehensive health care services. Currently, about 450,000 veterans with service-connected disabilities rated at 50 percent or higher are entitled to free comprehensive health care services from VA.<sup>3</sup> While millions of other veterans are eligible for free care from VA, they are entitled only to certain services, such as inpatient hospital care or outpatient treatment for their service-connected disabilities. Provision of other services is limited to services that can be provided within available resources. Under the Health Security Act, about 9 million core group veterans, including service-connected veterans, low-income veterans, former prisoners of war, and veterans of World War I or the Mexican Border period, would be entitled to free comprehensive inpatient and outpatient care if they enrolled in a VA health plan. Many of these veterans--those

---

<sup>2</sup>Increased to \$4.05 billion by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care.

<sup>3</sup>Nursing home care is currently an optional benefit for all veterans. Under amendments to the Health Security Act approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care, nursing home care would become a mandatory benefit for veterans with service-connected disabilities rated at 50 percent or higher and any veteran whose need for nursing home care results from a service-connected disability.

charged. Under the Health Security Act, expenses of providing medical care to veterans would be charged to two major funds, the medical care appropriation and the Health Plan Fund. Each would receive revenue from various sources. Revenues and payments would flow in many directions and involve many entities both inside and outside of VA. VA estimates that health plans could have 30 different revenue streams. For example, anticipated revenue sources include appropriations, Medicare payments, Department of Defense (DOD) and Indian Health Service reimbursements, enrollee premium payments from health alliances, enrollee copayments and deductibles, fee-for-service payments from private health plans, and intra-VA payments. These revenues would be deposited in one of the two funds depending on whether the patient is in the core group entitled to free care or not. Costs of providing care must also be debited to the correct fund. Failure to charge the cost of each patient's care to the proper account would violate statutory restrictions on the use of appropriated funds and could lead to shortages in appropriations. Also, the financial records would not reflect the costs of providing care to each type of beneficiary. Thus, no informed decision-making would be possible.

These problems would be partially addressed through an amendment to the Health Security Act approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care by combining appropriated and nonappropriated funds for the comprehensive benefit package in the Health Plan Fund. The issue would still remain, however, for those health care benefits provided under chapter 17 of 38 U.S.C. that are not covered under the comprehensive benefits package.

#### ADDITIONAL FUNDS MAY BE NEEDED TO MAKE VA PLANS COMPETITIVE

The Health Security Act would create a Veterans Health Care Investment Fund with an initial authorization of \$3.3 billion over 3 years.<sup>7</sup> The amendments to the Health Security Act approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care specify that the funds could be used for start-up costs for VA health plans, including consulting services, equipment, improving management information and accounting systems, marketing, minor construction, and, with some restrictions, major construction. The fund could, for example, be used to address the shortage of primary care facilities and the lack of patient amenities in outdated VA hospitals.

The funds to be appropriated to the Investment Fund are not, however, based on an assessment of what changes need to be made to make VA health plans competitive. VA is making such an assessment but has not yet developed an estimate of start-up costs or

---

<sup>7</sup>Increased to \$4.05 million by amendments approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care.

priorities away from service-connected and low-income veterans.<sup>4</sup> Nonservice-connected veterans with high incomes and dependents of veterans would have the same priority for enrollment as core group veterans. As long as VA health plans have the capacity to enroll all veterans and dependents seeking to enroll, this shift in priorities will not affect service-connected veterans.

If a VA health plan does not have the capacity to provide services to all veterans seeking to enroll in the plan, some veterans in the core group could be denied enrollment in the VA health plan and thus a significant portion of their veterans health benefits. At the same time, higher income nonservice-connected veterans and their dependents who enrolled in the VA health plan before it reached capacity would be guaranteed the comprehensive benefit package from the VA health plan. VA has stated its intent to market its plans to high-income nonservice-connected veterans, which would enable it to obtain Medicare and employer reimbursements.

Second, service-connected veterans enrolling in non-VA health plans may find it difficult to obtain care for their service-connected disabilities from VA. As I testified before this Subcommittee in April, service-connected veterans participating in our focus groups frequently indicated that they used VA only for treatment of their service-connected disabilities. These veterans choosing to enroll in non-VA plans could no longer use VA for treatment of their service-connected disability if the treatment is a covered service under the comprehensive benefit package unless their health plan agreed to pay VA for the care.

Originally, the Health Security Act would have required the veteran's private-sector health plan to pay the full and actual cost of care provided by a VA health plan. This could have made service-connected veterans liable for any copayments and deductibles imposed by their private-sector health plan. The Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care approved an amendment to the act to provide that VA health plans could not impose cost-sharing requirements for specialized services provided to service-connected veterans enrolled in non-VA health plans.

Because the VA health plans would now be liable for such costs without full reimbursement, they would have little financial incentive to provide treatment to service-connected veterans enrolled in other health plans. They would, however, obtain full

---

<sup>4</sup>Chapter 17 of title 38 U.S.C. establishes priorities for care in VA treatment facilities. Generally, veterans with service-connected disabilities, former prisoners of war, and veterans with low incomes have the highest priorities for care when space or resources are not adequate to meet all veterans' needs.

ensure fairness in the procurement process. Revisions to federal procurement procedures are being considered as part of efforts to implement the recommendations made through the National Performance Review. As a general rule, GAO favors a consistent procurement process governmentwide.

EXEMPTING VA FROM RISK CONTRACTING  
REQUIREMENTS COULD PLACE BOTH THE  
GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AT RISK

In addition to exemptions from general contracting requirements, VA health plans would be exempt from specific requirements relating to risk contracting, such as those that apply to Medicare HMOs. Because VA has no prior experience in risk contracting, such exemptions heighten the potential for fraud and abuse and could affect veterans' access to needed medical services. Although VA managed care plans would be expected, to the extent VA decides practicable, to comply with regional alliance standards, the regional alliances could not reject a VA health plan for any reason.

Under risk contracting, individual physicians or groups of physicians are paid a fixed monthly amount per enrolled recipient (capitation) to provide a defined set of items and services to all enrollees. This gives the contractor a financial incentive to control the use of services and ensure that only necessary care is provided. Although capitation has significant potential for containing health care costs, it also poses the danger of diminished quality of care should a contractor try to cut costs by inappropriately reducing services to enrollees.

Generally, the closer financial incentives are to individual treatment decisions and the more risk transferred to individual physicians or small groups of physicians, the higher the potential for adverse effects on quality of care. The amount of financial risk is lowest when the capitation covers only primary care services and increases as the physician or group of physicians is made responsible for a wider range of services, such as care by specialists and hospital care.

Although risk contracting is a common practice among managed care plans, the practice requires that the contractor has sufficient enrollment to spread the risk. VA plans to engage in risk contracting with private health plans and individual providers. If VA contracts with individual providers who have too narrow a patient base, the providers have greater incentive to withhold services, and the potential for their insolvency increases.

VA health plans would also not be accountable to Medicare safeguards. Because of their deemed Medicare status under the Health Security Act, the Health Care Financing Administration would

ensure fairness in the procurement process. Revisions to federal procurement procedures are being considered as part of efforts to implement the recommendations made through the National Performance Review. As a general rule, GAO favors a consistent procurement process governmentwide.

EXEMPTING VA FROM RISK CONTRACTING  
REQUIREMENTS COULD PLACE BOTH THE  
GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AT RISK

In addition to exemptions from general contracting requirements, VA health plans would be exempt from specific requirements relating to risk contracting, such as those that apply to Medicare HMOs. Because VA has no prior experience in risk contracting, such exemptions heighten the potential for fraud and abuse and could affect veterans' access to needed medical services. Although VA managed care plans would be expected, to the extent VA decides practicable, to comply with regional alliance standards, the regional alliances could not reject a VA health plan for any reason.

Under risk contracting, individual physicians or groups of physicians are paid a fixed monthly amount per enrolled recipient (capitation) to provide a defined set of items and services to all enrollees. This gives the contractor a financial incentive to control the use of services and ensure that only necessary care is provided. Although capitation has significant potential for containing health care costs, it also poses the danger of diminished quality of care should a contractor try to cut costs by inappropriately reducing services to enrollees.

Generally, the closer financial incentives are to individual treatment decisions and the more risk transferred to individual physicians or small groups of physicians, the higher the potential for adverse effects on quality of care. The amount of financial risk is lowest when the capitation covers only primary care services and increases as the physician or group of physicians is made responsible for a wider range of services, such as care by specialists and hospital care.

Although risk contracting is a common practice among managed care plans, the practice requires that the contractor has sufficient enrollment to spread the risk. VA plans to engage in risk contracting with private health plans and individual providers. If VA contracts with individual providers who have too narrow a patient base, the providers have greater incentive to withhold services, and the potential for their insolvency increases.

VA health plans would also not be accountable to Medicare safeguards. Because of their deemed Medicare status under the Health Security Act, the Health Care Financing Administration would

priorities away from service-connected and low-income veterans.<sup>4</sup> Nonservice-connected veterans with high incomes and dependents of veterans would have the same priority for enrollment as core group veterans. As long as VA health plans have the capacity to enroll all veterans and dependents seeking to enroll, this shift in priorities will not affect service-connected veterans.

If a VA health plan does not have the capacity to provide services to all veterans seeking to enroll in the plan, some veterans in the core group could be denied enrollment in the VA health plan and thus a significant portion of their veterans health benefits. At the same time, higher income nonservice-connected veterans and their dependents who enrolled in the VA health plan before it reached capacity would be guaranteed the comprehensive benefit package from the VA health plan. VA has stated its intent to market its plans to high-income nonservice-connected veterans, which would enable it to obtain Medicare and employer reimbursements.

Second, service-connected veterans enrolling in non-VA health plans may find it difficult to obtain care for their service-connected disabilities from VA. As I testified before this Subcommittee in April, service-connected veterans participating in our focus groups frequently indicated that they used VA only for treatment of their service-connected disabilities. These veterans choosing to enroll in non-VA plans could no longer use VA for treatment of their service-connected disability if the treatment is a covered service under the comprehensive benefit package unless their health plan agreed to pay VA for the care.

Originally, the Health Security Act would have required the veteran's private-sector health plan to pay the full and actual cost of care provided by a VA health plan. This could have made service-connected veterans liable for any copayments and deductibles imposed by their private-sector health plan. The Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care approved an amendment to the act to provide that VA health plans could not impose cost-sharing requirements for specialized services provided to service-connected veterans enrolled in non-VA health plans.

Because the VA health plans would now be liable for such costs without full reimbursement, they would have little financial incentive to provide treatment to service-connected veterans enrolled in other health plans. They would, however, obtain full

---

<sup>4</sup>Chapter 17 of title 38 U.S.C. establishes priorities for care in VA treatment facilities. Generally, veterans with service-connected disabilities, former prisoners of war, and veterans with low incomes have the highest priorities for care when space or resources are not adequate to meet all veterans' needs.

charged. Under the Health Security Act, expenses of providing medical care to veterans would be charged to two major funds, the medical care appropriation and the Health Plan Fund. Each would receive revenue from various sources. Revenues and payments would flow in many directions and involve many entities both inside and outside of VA. VA estimates that health plans could have 30 different revenue streams. For example, anticipated revenue sources include appropriations, Medicare payments, Department of Defense (DOD) and Indian Health Service reimbursements, enrollee premium payments from health alliances, enrollee copayments and deductibles, fee-for-service payments from private health plans, and intra-VA payments. These revenues would be deposited in one of the two funds depending on whether the patient is in the core group entitled to free care or not. Costs of providing care must also be debited to the correct fund. Failure to charge the cost of each patient's care to the proper account would violate statutory restrictions on the use of appropriated funds and could lead to shortages in appropriations. Also, the financial records would not reflect the costs of providing care to each type of beneficiary. Thus, no informed decision-making would be possible.

These problems would be partially addressed through an amendment to the Health Security Act approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care by combining appropriated and nonappropriated funds for the comprehensive benefit package in the Health Plan Fund. The issue would still remain, however, for those health care benefits provided under chapter 17 of 38 U.S.C. that are not covered under the comprehensive benefits package.

ADDITIONAL FUNDS MAY BE NEEDED TO MAKE  
VA PLANS COMPETITIVE

The Health Security Act would create a Veterans Health Care Investment Fund with an initial authorization of \$3.3 billion over 3 years.<sup>7</sup> The amendments to the Health Security Act approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care specify that the funds could be used for start-up costs for VA health plans, including consulting services, equipment, improving management information and accounting systems, marketing, minor construction, and, with some restrictions, major construction. The fund could, for example, be used to address the shortage of primary care facilities and the lack of patient amenities in outdated VA hospitals.

The funds to be appropriated to the Investment Fund are not, however, based on an assessment of what changes need to be made to make VA health plans competitive. VA is making such an assessment but has not yet developed an estimate of start-up costs or

---

<sup>7</sup>Increased to \$4.05 million by amendments approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care.

renovation of existing facilities, and other start-up costs for the health plans.<sup>2</sup>

Although these changes would help VA market competitive managed care plans, they would also create significant risks--risks to the government in higher costs and increased exposure to fraud and abuse and risks to veterans in decreased access to and quality of care. I would now like to discuss some of those risks.

#### EXPANDED ENTITLEMENT COULD ADD BILLIONS TO VA APPROPRIATIONS

Under the provisions of the Health Security Act, about 9 million veterans would be entitled to free comprehensive health care benefits if they enroll in VA health plans (core group veterans). This entitlement could require VA appropriations of about \$16 billion just to cover the premiums of core group enrollees. Although the entitlement expansion would increase overall government health care spending, most of the increase in VA appropriations would result from cost shifting from Medicare and other programs.

#### About 9 Million Veterans Would Be Entitled to Free Comprehensive Care

The Health Security Act would greatly expand the number of veterans entitled to free comprehensive health care services. Currently, about 450,000 veterans with service-connected disabilities rated at 50 percent or higher are entitled to free comprehensive health care services from VA.<sup>3</sup> While millions of other veterans are eligible for free care from VA, they are entitled only to certain services, such as inpatient hospital care or outpatient treatment for their service-connected disabilities. Provision of other services is limited to services that can be provided within available resources. Under the Health Security Act, about 9 million core group veterans, including service-connected veterans, low-income veterans, former prisoners of war, and veterans of World War I or the Mexican Border period, would be entitled to free comprehensive inpatient and outpatient care if they enrolled in a VA health plan. Many of these veterans--those

---

<sup>2</sup>Increased to \$4.05 billion by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care.

<sup>3</sup>Nursing home care is currently an optional benefit for all veterans. Under amendments to the Health Security Act approved by the Subcommittee on Hospitals and Health Care, nursing home care would become a mandatory benefit for veterans with service-connected disabilities rated at 50 percent or higher and any veteran whose need for nursing home care results from a service-connected disability.



for all participating health plans; the enrollee would pay the difference between the premium and the employer contribution. Under the Health Security Act, the regional alliances could reject non-VA plans but they would be required to accept whatever premiums VA health plans propose. In fact, regional alliances would not be allowed to reject VA health plans for any reason.

VA premiums may be higher than premiums of competing health plans if their costs are higher or their enrollees are more disabled or older.<sup>8</sup> Because veterans are older than the general population, their health care utilization and the costs of providing services can be expected to be higher than those of the overall population.

Just as cost and utilization data are critical in setting VA health plan premiums, they would be critical in setting capitation payments to risk contractors. Rates set too high could affect the financial solvency of VA health plans; rates set too low could affect the solvency of the risk contractors and lead to underservicing of health plan enrollees.

Accurate cost data are also important in determining when to purchase health care services from non-VA providers. We noted in our December 1992 Transition Series report on the VA that it has not provided clear guidance on how cost comparisons should be made to determine if care could be purchased more economically than VA could provide it.<sup>9</sup> Our ongoing work shows, however, that VA medical centers still do not know how to make such cost comparisons.

Finally, VA needs accurate cost data to determine appropriate prices to charge for items and services sold to other health plans. If prices are set too low, funds from other sources would be needed to subsidize losses, and less money will be available to provide services to veterans.

---

<sup>8</sup>The effects of inaccurate premiums would depend on the risk adjustments made by regional alliances. For example, if VA premiums are set too low but VA receives a favorable risk adjustment for enrolling an older and more disabled population, then the effects of the low premiums would, at least to some extent, be offset. If, on the other hand, the risk adjustment is not favorable to VA, then premiums set too low would heighten VA's problems in trying to provide care with available resources.

<sup>9</sup>Veterans' Affairs Issues (GAO/OCG-93-21TR, Dec. 1992).

- VA's inadequate cost and utilization data do not support its move to a managed care system.
- Many VA facilities are outdated and lack the patient amenities of private-sector hospitals.
- VA lacks a facilities network that would allow veterans to obtain health care services close to their homes.
- Many veterans negatively view the quality of care and customer service at VA facilities.
- Centralized management limits the ability of VA health plans to react to local conditions.
- A shortage of primary care physicians needed to quickly adopt managed care. About 20 percent of VA physicians are primary care physicians or generalists; about 60 percent of a managed care plan's physicians are typically primary care physicians.
- VA lacks expertise in establishing and operating managed care plans.
- Veterans used to "showing up" for treatment at VA hospitals and clinics without appointments will have to be educated on accessing care through a managed care system.
- Private-sector health plans may be unwilling to contract with VA unless it grants their physicians admitting rights and meets other requirements established by the health plans.

THE HEALTH SECURITY ACT WOULD  
ADDRESS MOST LEGAL BARRIERS

The Health Security Act would address most of the legal barriers mentioned, largely through expansions in VA entitlement and exemptions from federal and state requirements. For example, the act would authorize

- VA to provide the same set of comprehensive health care benefits to veterans enrolling in VA health plans as provided by competing managed care plans;
- VA to enroll veterans' dependents in VA health plans and to have broad authority to contract for health care services, enabling it to provide care to dependents either in VA facilities or through contract care;

Without a system that gives VA prompt access to income data, VA may incorrectly place veterans or nonveterans in the core group and provide free enrollment in the VA health plan. The income verification system is still being tested, and VA does not plan to conduct another match against tax records until November 1994.

#### FURTHER DECENTRALIZATION COULD INCREASE RISKS

The final risk I would like to discuss is VA's plan to further decentralize management.

Failure to monitor policy implementation under VA's decentralized management structure has been a recurring theme in our reports on VA health programs for many years. In both our 1988 and 1992 Transition Series reports on VA, we identified policy implementation as one of the problems most needing management attention.

Many of the problems addressed by this Subcommittee have focused on the failure of VA's central office and regional offices to identify and correct problems. For example, the Subcommittee's March hearing on women veterans showed that many of the problems in meeting women veterans' health care needs that GAO originally identified in 1982 still existed 12 years later. Although VA central office directed medical centers to improve the thoroughness of women veterans' physical examinations, our 1994 follow-up showed that the central office had not reviewed and followed up on medical centers' action plans for improving compliance with examination requirements.

The combination of exemptions from federal laws and regulations to be authorized by the Health Security Act and VA medical centers' history of problems in complying with current laws, regulations, and procedures creates significant risks both to the federal government through increased costs and losses to fraud and abuse and to veterans and their dependents through poor monitoring of their health care. VA's plans to give medical centers and health plans greater autonomy would, at least until those medical centers and health plans demonstrate the ability to run a managed care plan, further increase the risks.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, Mr. Chairman, the proposed Health Security Act would alleviate most of the legal barriers to VA's developing competitive managed care plans. It would do so, however, by eliminating a series of internal controls developed over many years to protect both the interests of the government and its citizens. VA health plans would be exempt from important fiscal and quality safeguards, greatly expanding VA's financial liabilities,

- Further decentralization of VA management could heighten risks created through exemptions from federal laws and regulations.

Obviously, the risks would be decreased to the extent that VA reinstates the internal controls that would be eliminated through the exemptions.

## BACKGROUND

When the VA health care system was established in 1930, public and private health insurance were virtually nonexistent. VA developed its system as a direct delivery system with the government owning and operating its own health care facilities. It became the nation's largest direct delivery system with 171 hospitals and more than 200 outpatient clinics.

With the subsequent growth of public and private health insurance programs, most veterans now have one or more alternatives to VA health care. In 1990, 9 out of 10 veterans had other health care coverage in addition to access to services provided by VA. For example, about 27 percent of veterans were eligible for Medicare.

Still, about 2.2 million veterans made more than 20 million outpatient visits to VA health care facilities and had more than 970,000 hospital stays in 1991. Of these veterans, about 1 million had disabilities incurred in or aggravated by military service (service-connected), and 1.2 million had no disabling conditions relating to military service (nonservice-connected).

Veterans who use VA health care services tend to have lower incomes and less private insurance coverage than veterans using other providers. In other words, in addition to providing treatment for service-connected disabilities, VA serves as a safety net for veterans lacking the resources to pay for private-sector health care.

Reforms of the nation's health financing system, such as those under consideration, would reduce the number of uninsured veterans. As a result, many veterans who currently rely on VA because they do not have health insurance or cannot afford the copayments and deductibles that would be required by private-sector hospitals and physicians, might seek care closer to their homes.

Of the many health reform proposals being considered, only one--the administration's proposed Health Security Act--would change the VA health care delivery system or VA eligibility to try to limit VA's potential loss of workload.

RELATED GAO PRODUCTS

VA Health Care: VA and the Health Security Act (GAO/HEHS-94-159R, May 9, 1994).

VA Health Care Reform: Financial Implications of the Proposed Health Security Act (GAO/T-HEHS-94-148).

VA Health Care: Most Care Provided Through Non-VA Programs (GAO/HEHS-94-104BR, Apr. 25, 1994).

VA Health Care: Veterans' Perceptions of VA Services and Its Role in Health Care Reform (GAO/T-HEHS-94-150, Apr. 20, 1994).

VA Health Care: A Profile of Veterans Using VA Medical Centers in 1991 (GAO/HEHS-94-113FS, Mar. 29, 1994).

VA Health Care: Comparison of VA Benefits With Other Public and Private Programs (GAO/HRD-93-94, July 29, 1993).

VA Health Care: Potential for Offsetting Long-Term Care Costs Through Estate Recovery (GAO/HRD/93-68, July 27, 1993).

Veterans Affairs: Accessibility of Outpatient Care at VA Medical Centers (GAO/T-HRD-93-29, July 21, 1993).

VA Health Care: Variabilities in Outpatient Care Eligibility and Rationing Decisions (GAO/HRD-93-106, July 14, 1993).

VA Health Care: Veterans' Efforts to Obtain Outpatient Care From Alternative Sources (GAO/HRD-93-123, June 30, 1993).

Veterans' Health Care: Potential Effects of Health Care Reforms on VA's Major Construction Program (GAO/-T-HRD-93-19, May 6, 1993).

Veterans' Health Care: Potential Effects of Health Financing Reforms on Demand for VA Services (GAO/T-HRD-93-12, Mar. 31, 1993).

Veterans' Health Care: Potential Effects of Health Reforms on VA Construction (GAO/T-HRD-93-7, Mar. 3, 1993).

VA Health Care: Actions Needed to Control Major Construction Costs (GAO/HRD-93-75, Feb. 26, 1993).

Veterans' Affairs Issues (GAO/OCG-93-21TR, Dec. 1992).

VA Health Care: Offsetting Long-Term Care Costs by Adopting State Copayment Practices (GAO/HRD-92-96, Aug. 12, 1992).

VA Health Care: Demonstration Project Concerning Future Structure of Veterans' Health Reform (GAO/T-HRD-92-53, Aug. 11, 1992).

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Subcommittee:

We are pleased to be here today as the Subcommittee continues to examine the veterans' health care provisions of the administration's proposed Health Security Act. We are conducting a series of studies--several of which were requested by Chairman Evans--on the potential effects of health reforms on the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) health care system and options for restructuring veterans' health benefits. My comments this morning will focus on the preliminary results of work on one of those requests. Specifically, we will discuss

- legal and structural barriers that could limit VA's ability to restructure its health care facilities into managed care plans and compete with private-sector health plans,
- the extent to which the Health Security Act would overcome those barriers, and
- the potential risks associated with efforts to make VA competitive with private-sector managed care plans.

Our work is based on meetings with VA central office and medical center officials and representatives from health maintenance organizations (HMO) and managed care associations, our reports over the last 10 to 15 years looking at managed care programs under Medicare and Medicaid, and our reports and ongoing work relating to veterans' health issues. We are currently drafting a report that will expand on the information we are presenting this morning.

#### RESULTS IN BRIEF

VA faces many challenges as it attempts to restructure its health care system to compete in a managed care environment. We identified more than 25 barriers that could hinder VA's efforts to establish competitive health plans. These barriers relate generally to (1) eligibility for VA health care services, (2) management flexibility to react to local conditions, (3) uncertainties about funding of VA health care services, (4) restrictions on marketing, and (5) VA's health care infrastructure. VA officials believe that for barriers other than those relating to VA's infrastructure, legislative action to exempt VA from a series of existing laws and regulations is essential if VA is to compete with private-sector health plans.

Most legal barriers that might limit VA's ability to compete with private-sector managed care plans would be addressed through the Health Security Act. For example, VA would be exempt from most federal contracting and personnel laws and regulations. In addition, VA eligibility would be reformed and new sources of funding established.

---

---

### Ordering Information

**The first copy of each GAO report and testimony is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.**

**Orders by mail:**

**U.S. General Accounting Office  
P.O. Box 6015  
Gaithersburg, MD 20884-6015**

**or visit:**

**Room 1100  
700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW)  
U.S. General Accounting Office  
Washington, DC**

**Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 512-6000  
or by using fax number (301) 258-4066.**

**Each day, GAO issues a list of newly available reports and testimony. To receive facsimile copies of the daily list, or any listing from the past 30 days, please call (301) 258-4097 using a touchtone phone. A recorded menu will provide information on how to obtain these listings.**

**GAO**

**Testimony**

Before the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations,  
Committee on Veterans' Affairs, House of Representatives

---

For Release  
on Delivery  
Expected at  
8:30 a.m., EDT  
Wednesday, June 29, 1994

**VETERANS' HEALTH  
CARE**

**Efforts to Make VA  
Competitive May Create  
Significant Risks**

Statement of David P. Baine, Director  
Federal Health Care Delivery Issues  
Health, Education, and Human Services Division

